Venting Out: Exports During a Domestic Slump

Miguel Almunia Pol Antràs David Lopez-Rodriguez Eduardo Morales

CUNEF Harvard University Banco de España Princeton University

December 2018

The Spanish Economy During the Great Recession

• Few countries experienced the Great Recession as intensively as Spain did...



The Spanish Economy During the Great Recession

• ... and few euro area countries experienced export growth during the downturn as intensively as Spain did.



Other European Economies

• Spain is (not) different.



- Two leading explanations for the Spanish "export miracle":
 - Internal devaluation: between 2008 and 2013, manufacturing unit labor costs fell by 3.8% relative to those of other Euro area countries.

- Two leading explanations for the Spanish "export miracle":
 - Internal devaluation: between 2008 and 2013, manufacturing unit labor costs fell by 3.8% relative to those of other Euro area countries.
 - "Vent-for-surplus": faced with excess capacity during the domestic slump, producers stepped up their efforts to seek new customers in foreign markets.

- Two leading explanations for the Spanish "export miracle":
 - Internal devaluation: between 2008 and 2013, manufacturing unit labor costs fell by 3.8% relative to those of other Euro area countries.
 - "Vent-for-surplus": faced with excess capacity during the domestic slump, producers stepped up their efforts to seek new customers in foreign markets.
- "Vent-for-surplus" mechanism implies a negative *causal* relationship between domestic demand and exports operating through the firm's domestic sales:
 Domestic demand ↓ → Domestic sales ↓ → Exports ↑

Holding factor prices and productivity fixed

• "Vent-for-surplus" mechanism is a *firm-level partial equilibrium* relationship.

- Two leading explanations for the Spanish "export miracle":
 - Internal devaluation: between 2008 and 2013, manufacturing unit labor costs fell by 3.8% relative to those of other Euro area countries.
 - "Vent-for-surplus": faced with excess capacity during the domestic slump, producers stepped up their efforts to seek new customers in foreign markets.
- "Vent-for-surplus" mechanism implies a negative *causal* relationship between domestic demand and exports operating through the firm's domestic sales:
 Domestic demand ↓ → Domestic sales ↓ → Exports ↑

Holding factor prices and productivity fixed

- "Vent-for-surplus" mechanism is a *firm-level partial equilibrium* relationship.
- Contribution of this paper: test for the presence and quantify the contribution of the vent-for-surplus mechanism to the Spanish "export miracle".

Identification Strategy

- Main identification strategy:
 - Divide sample into a "boom" (2002-08) and a "bust" period (2009-13).
 - Exploit the differential geographic impact of the Great Recession in Spain.

Identification Strategy

- Main identification strategy:
 - Divide sample into a "boom" (2002-08) and a "bust" period (2009-13).
 - Exploit the differential geographic impact of the Great Recession in Spain.
 - Use municipality-level data on consumption per capita in a mostly-imported durable item, vehicles, to construct a proxy for municipality-level demand.

- Main identification strategy:
 - Divide sample into a "boom" (2002-08) and a "bust" period (2009-13).
 - Exploit the differential geographic impact of the Great Recession in Spain.
 - Use municipality-level data on consumption per capita in a mostly-imported durable item, vehicles, to construct a proxy for municipality-level demand.
 - Use this proxy to instrument for changes in manufacturing firms' domestic sales when estimating its impact on extensive and intensive margin of exports.

- Main identification strategy:
 - Divide sample into a "boom" (2002-08) and a "bust" period (2009-13).
 - Exploit the differential geographic impact of the Great Recession in Spain.
 - Use municipality-level data on consumption per capita in a mostly-imported durable item, vehicles, to construct a proxy for municipality-level demand.
 - Use this proxy to instrument for changes in manufacturing firms' domestic sales when estimating its impact on extensive and intensive margin of exports.
 - Control for firm-specific wages, financial costs and proxy for productivity.

- Main identification strategy:
 - Divide sample into a "boom" (2002-08) and a "bust" period (2009-13).
 - Exploit the differential geographic impact of the Great Recession in Spain.
 - Use municipality-level data on consumption per capita in a mostly-imported durable item, vehicles, to construct a proxy for municipality-level demand.
 - Use this proxy to instrument for changes in manufacturing firms' domestic sales when estimating its impact on extensive and intensive margin of exports.
 - Control for firm-specific wages, financial costs and proxy for productivity.
- As alternative instruments, we exploit differences across Spanish regions in exposure to factors believed to have caused the Great Recession:
 - differences in share of "buildable" urban land;
 - differences in importance of construction sector in employment;
 - differences in importance of tourism in overall economic activity.

• Intensive margin results:

- Robust negative causal relationship between firms' demand-driven changes in domestic sales and exports, controlling for firms' marginal cost shifters.
- Elasticity of exports with respect to domestic sales is approximately -1.6.
- A firm with a 25% initial export share will recoup €53.3 via exports for every €100 of lost domestic sales.

- Intensive margin results:
 - Robust negative causal relationship between firms' demand-driven changes in domestic sales and exports, controlling for firms' marginal cost shifters.
 - Elasticity of exports with respect to domestic sales is approximately -1.6.
 - A firm with a 25% initial export share will recoup €53.3 via exports for every €100 of lost domestic sales.
- Extensive margin results:
 - No evidence of "vent-for-surplus" operating through firms starting to export.

- Intensive margin results:
 - Robust negative causal relationship between firms' demand-driven changes in domestic sales and exports, controlling for firms' marginal cost shifters.
 - Elasticity of exports with respect to domestic sales is approximately -1.6.
 - A firm with a 25% initial export share will recoup €53.3 via exports for every €100 of lost domestic sales.
- Extensive margin results:
 - No evidence of "vent-for-surplus" operating through firms starting to export.
- Quantification:
 - "Vent-for-surplus" mechanism accounts for more than half of the 2009-13 increase in Spanish exports.

"When the produce of any particular branch of industry exceeds what the demand of the country requires, the surplus must be sent abroad, and exchanged for something for which there is a demand at home. Without such exportation, a part of the productive labour of the country must cease, and the value of its annual produce diminish [...] **The surplus part of them, therefore, must be sent abroad**, and exchanged for something for which there is a demand at home. It is by means of such exportation, that this surplus can acquire a value sufficient to compensate the labour and expense of producing it."



The Wealth of Nations, 1776, Book II, Chapter V

"Adam Smith's theory of the benefit of foreign trade was that it afforded an outlet for the surplus produce of a country, and enabled a portion of the capital of the country to replace itself with a profit. **These expressions suggest ideas inconsistent with a clear conception of the phenomena.**"



Principles of Political Economy, 1848, Book III, Ch. XVIII

Literature

- "Vent-for-surplus" theory:
 - Adam Smith (1776), Williams (1929), Myint (1958), Fisher and Kakkar (2004)
 - **Contribution:** we apply the "vent-for-surplus" intuition at the firm level and illustrate its importance as a mechanism to smooth out local shocks.
- Firm-level interdependencies across markets:
 - Trade models with increasing marginal costs: Vannoorenberghe (2002), Blum et al. (2013), Soderbery (2014), Blanchard and Portugal (2016), Ahn and McQuoid (2017), Liu (2017).
 - Impact of export demand on domestic sales: Berman et al. (2015).
 - Extensive margin complementarities: Antràs et al (2017), Morales et al (2017)
 - Contribution: study export impact of a large domestic demand shock.
- Exploiting spatial variation in the impact of the Great Recession:
 - Mian and Sufi (2009, 2014), Mian, Rao and Sufi (2013).
 - **Contribution:** exploit link between housing net worth and consumption shown in this prior work to look at impact of domestic demand on firms' exports

- Baseline Model: Partial-equilibrium Version of Melitz (2003)
- Data
- Identification Approach
- Results
- Robustness
- Model with Increasing Marginal Costs
- Quantification
- Summary

BASELINE MODEL: PARTIAL-EQUILIBRIUM VERSION OF MELITZ (2003)

Market-Specific Sales Revenue

- Model predicts that log-changes in sales between two periods are given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{ij} = \left(\sigma - 1
ight) \left[\widehat{\xi}_{ij} + \widehat{arphi}_i - \widehat{\omega}_i
ight] - \left(\sigma - 1
ight) \left(\widehat{ au}_{sj} - \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{sj}
ight) + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{sj}$$

• \widehat{R}_{ix} is not impacted by \widehat{R}_{id} ; thus, this model implies $\beta = 0$.

Market-Specific Sales Revenue

- CES demand, constant marginal costs, monopolistic competition. Environment
- Model predicts that log-changes in sales between two periods are given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{ij} = \left(\sigma - 1
ight) \left[\widehat{\xi}_{ij} + \widehat{arphi}_i - \widehat{\omega}_i
ight] - \left(\sigma - 1
ight) \left(\widehat{ au}_{sj} - \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{sj}
ight) + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{sj}$$

- \widehat{R}_{ix} is not impacted by \widehat{R}_{id} ; thus, this model implies $\beta = 0$.
- To understand properties of $\hat{\beta}_{ols}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{iv}$, useful to decompose

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\xi}_{ij} &= \xi_{sj} + \xi_{\ell j} + u_{ij}^{\xi}, \\ \widehat{\varphi}_i &= \varphi_s + \varphi_{\ell} + \delta_{\varphi} \widehat{\varphi}_i^* + u_i^{\varphi}, \\ \widehat{\omega}_i &= \omega_s + \omega_{\ell} + \delta_{\omega} \widehat{\omega}_i^* + u_i^{\omega}, \end{aligned}$$

and, thus, we can rewrite

$$\widehat{R}_{ij} = \gamma_{sj} + \gamma_{\ell j} + (\sigma - 1) \, \delta_{\varphi} \widehat{\varphi}_i^* - (\sigma - 1) \, \delta_{\omega} \widehat{\omega}_i^* + \underbrace{(\sigma - 1) \left(u_{ij}^{\xi} + u_i^{\varphi} - u_i^{\omega}\right)}_{\varepsilon_{ij}}.$$

- Consider using OLS to estimate the parameter β in a regression of \widehat{R}_{ix} on \widehat{R}_{id} controlling for sector fixed effects, region fixed effects, and our proxies for productivity and production factor costs.
- $\bullet\,$ Given this model, the probability limit of the OLS estimator of β is

- Consider using OLS to estimate the parameter β in a regression of \hat{R}_{ix} on \hat{R}_{id} controlling for sector fixed effects, region fixed effects, and our proxies for productivity and production factor costs.
- $\bullet\,$ Given this model, the probability limit of the OLS estimator of β is

$$\textit{plim}(\hat{\beta}_{\textit{ols}}) = \frac{\textit{cov}(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{ix}, \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{id})}{\textit{var}(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{id})} = \frac{\textit{cov}(u_{ix}^{\xi} + u_{i}^{\varphi} - u_{i}^{\omega}, u_{id}^{\xi} + u_{i}^{\varphi} - u_{i}^{\omega})}{\textit{var}(u_{id}^{\xi} + u_{i}^{\varphi} - u_{i}^{\omega})}.$$

- Therefore, $\textit{plim}(\hat{\beta}_{\textit{ols}}) > 0$ as long as firms'
 - productivity and production factor costs are not perfectly observable; and/or
 - market- and firm-specific residual demand positively correlated across markets.

- Consider using OLS to estimate the parameter β in a regression of \hat{R}_{ix} on \hat{R}_{id} controlling for sector fixed effects, region fixed effects, and our proxies for productivity and production factor costs.
- $\bullet\,$ Given this model, the probability limit of the OLS estimator of β is

$$\textit{plim}(\hat{\beta}_{\textit{ols}}) = \frac{\textit{cov}(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{ix}, \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{id})}{\textit{var}(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{id})} = \frac{\textit{cov}(u_{ix}^{\xi} + u_{i}^{\varphi} - u_{i}^{\omega}, u_{id}^{\xi} + u_{i}^{\varphi} - u_{i}^{\omega})}{\textit{var}(u_{id}^{\xi} + u_{i}^{\varphi} - u_{i}^{\omega})}.$$

- Therefore, $\textit{plim}(\hat{\beta}_{\textit{ols}}) > 0$ as long as firms'
 - productivity and production factor costs are not perfectly observable; and/or
 - market- and firm-specific residual demand positively correlated across markets.
- The OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}_{\textit{ols}}$ is likely to be upward biased; thus
 - $\hat{\beta}_{ols} > 0$ is not very informative about the true value β .
 - $\hat{\beta}_{ols} < 0$ is informative: it suggests the need for a different model.

Properties of IV Estimator

- Consider an instrumental variable approach with an instrument \hat{Z}_i for the log change in domestic sales \hat{R}_{id} .
- We then have

$$plim(\hat{\beta}_{iv}) = \frac{cov(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{ix}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)}{cov(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{id}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)} = \frac{cov(u_{ix}^{\xi} + u_i^{\varphi} - u_i^{\omega}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)}{cov(u_{id}^{\xi} + u_i^{\varphi} - u_i^{\omega}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)}$$

Properties of IV Estimator

- Consider an instrumental variable approach with an instrument \hat{Z}_i for the log change in domestic sales \hat{R}_{id} .
- We then have

$$plim(\hat{\beta}_{iv}) = \frac{cov(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{ix}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)}{cov(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{id}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)} = \frac{cov(u_{ix}^{\xi} + u_i^{\varphi} - u_i^{\omega}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)}{cov(u_{id}^{\xi} + u_i^{\varphi} - u_i^{\omega}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)}.$$

- Note that $plim(\hat{\beta}_{iv}) = 0$ if $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_i$ is:
 - correlated with the firm's idiosyncratic domestic demand;
 - uncorrelated with the firm's idiosyncratic export demand;
 - **(3)** uncorrelated with the residual determinants of the firm's marginal costs.

Properties of IV Estimator

- Consider an instrumental variable approach with an instrument \hat{Z}_i for the log change in domestic sales \hat{R}_{id} .
- We then have

$$plim(\hat{\beta}_{iv}) = \frac{cov(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{ix}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)}{cov(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{id}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)} = \frac{cov(u_{ix}^{\xi} + u_i^{\varphi} - u_i^{\omega}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)}{cov(u_{id}^{\xi} + u_i^{\varphi} - u_i^{\omega}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_i)}.$$

- Note that $plim(\hat{\beta}_{iv}) = 0$ if $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_i$ is:
 - correlated with the firm's idiosyncratic domestic demand;
 - uncorrelated with the firm's idiosyncratic export demand;
 - Incorrelated with the residual determinants of the firm's marginal costs.
- If Ẑ_i satisfies these three conditions, β̂_{iv} ≠ 0 is informative in that it suggests the need for a different model as framework to understand the properties of our estimators.

DATA

- Period: 2002-2013.
- Sample: Spanish manufacturing firms.

- Period: 2002-2013.
- Sample: Spanish manufacturing firms.
- Data sources:
 - Commercial Registry (Registro Mercantil Central);
 - Foreign transactions registry of the Central Bank of Spain (Banco de España);
 - Spanish Registry of Motor Vehicles (Dirección General de Tráfico).

- Period: 2002-2013.
- Sample: Spanish manufacturing firms.
- Data sources:
 - Commercial Registry (Registro Mercantil Central);
 - Foreign transactions registry of the Central Bank of Spain (Banco de España);
 - Spanish Registry of Motor Vehicles (Dirección General de Tráfico).
- For each firm and year, we observe
 - Sector of activity (4-digit) and 5-digit zip code of location;
 - Total sales revenue and aggregate export revenue;
 - Input measures: labor expenditures (including social security contributions); number of workers, material expenditures, total value of fixed assets.

Average Log Change in Domestic Sales by Province



Average Log Change in Stock of Cars per Capita



Variation Across Zip Codes: Madrid



Variation Across Zip Codes: Barcelona



EXPORTS AND DOMESTIC SALES: EXPLOITING A PROXY FOR LOCAL DEMAND

- Our identification strategy is based on three main pillars:
 - Changes in the stock of vehicles per capita are a useful proxy for changes in "local demand" in the years around the Great Recession;

- Our identification strategy is based on three main pillars:
 - Changes in the stock of vehicles per capita are a useful proxy for changes in "local demand" in the years around the Great Recession;
 - Changes in "local demand" are a good predictor of changes in the domestic (Spain-wide) sales of firms producing in a given locality; and

- Our identification strategy is based on three main pillars:
 - Changes in the stock of vehicles per capita are a useful proxy for changes in "local demand" in the years around the Great Recession;
 - Changes in "local demand" are a good predictor of changes in the domestic (Spain-wide) sales of firms producing in a given locality; and
 - Ochanges in the local stock of vehicles per capita are not correlated with firms' unobserved supply or export demand shocks.

- Our identification strategy is based on three main pillars:
 - Changes in the stock of vehicles per capita are a useful proxy for changes in "local demand" in the years around the Great Recession;
 - Changes in "local demand" are a good predictor of changes in the domestic (Spain-wide) sales of firms producing in a given locality; and
 - Changes in the local stock of vehicles per capita are not correlated with firms' unobserved supply or export demand shocks.
- First two requirements: stock of vehicles per capita is a relevant instrument.
- Last requirement: stock of vehicles per capita is a valid instrument.

Dependent Variable:	$\Delta Ln(Exports)$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Δ Ln(Domestic Sales)	<mark>0.131</mark> ª (0.025)	-0.147 ^a (0.028)	-0.228ª (0.027)	-0.217 ^a (0.027)	<mark>-0.204</mark> ª (0.027)	- <mark>0.186</mark> ª (0.030)
$\Delta Ln(TFP)$		1.057 ^a (0.045)	1.298 ^a (0.052)	1.375 ^a (0.051)	1.357ª (0.051)	1.336ª (0.053)
$\Delta Ln(Avg. Wages)$			-0.590 ^a (0.047)	-0.540 ^a (0.051)	-0.525 ^a (0.051)	-0.482 ^a (0.054)
Observations	8,018	8,018	8,018	8,018	8,018	7,507
R-squared	0.005	0.088	0.106	0.146	0.158	0.265
Sector FE	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Province FE	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Municipality FE	No	No	No	No	No	Yes

2SLS with Municipality Stock of Vehicles p.c. as IV

Dependent Variable:	Δ	Ln(Dom	estic Sale	s)		ΔLn(E	×ports)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Δ Ln(Domestic Sales)					-2.185 ^a (0.622)	-1.346 ^a (0.359)	-1.393 ^a (0.350)	-1.602 ^a (0.437)
ΔLn(Vehicles p.c. in municipality)	<mark>0.336</mark> ª (0.060)	0.447 ^a (0.066)	0.437 ^a (0.065)	<mark>0.363</mark> ª (0.068)				
$\Delta Ln(TFP)$		0.785 ^a (0.025)	0.948 ^a (0.029)	0.936 ^a (0.030)		1.991 ^a (0.286)	2.396 ^a (0.339)	2.657 ^a (0.409)
Δ Ln(Avg. Wages)			-0.544 ^a (0.031)	-0.447 ^a (0.036)			-1.225 ^a (0.205)	-1.149 ^a (0.206)
F-statistic	31.17	46.39	45.00	28.32				
Observations	8,018	8,018	8,018	8,018	8,018	8,018	8,018	8,018
Sector FE	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Province FE	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes

- Elasticity of -1.6 does not imply a more-than-complete substitution of exports for domestic sales.
- If domestic demand falls by €100:
 - a firm with 25% export share recoups \in 53.3;
 - a firm with 33% export share recounts \in 80.
- Median export share in the sample is 16.5%.

- Elasticity of -1.6 does not imply a more-than-complete substitution of exports for domestic sales.
- If domestic demand falls by €100:
 - a firm with 25% export share recoups €53.3;
 - a firm with 33% export share recounts €80.
- Median export share in the sample is 16.5%.
- Estimates are incompatible with the implications of the model with constant marginal costs: an alternative model is needed to explain the relationship between demand-driven changes in domestic sales and exports.

Extensive Margin

Dependent Variable:		Export	: Dummy	Proporti	on of Years
	1st Stage	OLS	2nd Stage	OLS	2nd Stage
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Ln(Domestic Sales)		0.040 ^a	-0.107	0.021 ^a	-0.071
		(0.003)	(0.181)	(0.002)	(0.094)
Ln(Vehicles p.c.	0.089 ^a				
in municipality)	(0.023)				
Ln(TFP)	1.075 ^a	0.038 ^a	0.196	0.050 ^a	0.148
	(0.016)	(0.005)	(0.195)	(0.003)	(0.101)
Ln(Average Wages)	-0.408 ^a	-0.024 ^a	-0.084	-0.031 ^a	-0.068 ^c
	(0.011)	(0.004)	(0.074)	(0.003)	(0.038)
Observations	125,808	125,808	125,808	125,808	125,808
Firm FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sector-Period FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Province-Period FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
F-statistic on IV	14.49				
Mean of Dep. Var.		0.183	0.183	0.113	0.113
Ext-Margin Elasticity		0.221	-0.584	0.181	-0.622

ROBUSTNESS

- Eliminate zip codes exposed to supply shocks in the motor vehicles industry.
- Heterogeneous Effects.
- Alternative instruments.
- Sonfounding Factors: Controls for additional marginal cost shifters.
- Solution Confounding Factors: Alternative measure of productivity
- Placebo exercise for first stage regression.

Excluding Zip Codes Linked to Auto Industry

	Panel A: Exclude zipcodes w/ high auto employment share			Panel B: Exclude zipcodes with at least one sizeable auto maker			
Dependent Variable:	$\Delta Ln(Exp)$	$\Delta Ln(DSales)$	$\Delta Ln(Exp)$	$\Delta Ln(Exp)$	$\Delta Ln(DSales)$	$\Delta Ln(Exp)$	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	OLS	1st Stage	2nd Stage	OLS	1st Stage	2nd Stage	
Δ Ln(Domestic Sales)	-0.218ª (0.030)		- <mark>2.382</mark> ª (0.535)	-0.235² (0.038)		-2.787 ^a (0.694)	
ΔLn(Vehicles p.c. in municipality)		<mark>0.328</mark> ª (0.075)			<mark>0.318</mark> ª (0.088)		
$\Delta Ln(TFP)$	1.349 ^a (0.057)	0.936 ^a (0.032)	3.361 ^a (0.501)	1.348 ^a (0.072)	0.898 ^a (0.043)	3.624 ^a (0.630)	
$\Delta Ln(Avg. Wages)$	-0.487 ^a (0.052)	-0.436 ^a (0.037)	-1.428 ^a (0.253)	-0.526² (0.071)	-0.408 ^a (0.047)	-1.561 ^a (0.322)	
F-statistic	-	19.04	-	-	13.11	-	
Observations	7,178	7,178	7,178	4,613	4,613	4,613	

Excluding Zip Codes Linked to Auto Industry

	Panel neighbo	Panel C: Exclude zipcodes neighboring' those in Panel A		Panel D: Exclude sectors w/ I-O links to automakers		
Dependent Variable:	$\Delta Ln(Exp)$	$\Delta Ln(DSales)$	$\Delta Ln(Exp)$	$\Delta Ln(Exp)$	$\Delta Ln(DSales)$	$\Delta Ln(Exp)$
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	OLS	1st Stage	2nd Stage	OLS	1st Stage	2nd Stage
Δ Ln(Domestic Sales)	-0.204 ^a (0.033)		- <mark>2.487</mark> ª (0.632)	-0.179 ^a (0.031)		- <mark>2.443</mark> ª (0.579)
ΔLn(Vehicles p.c. in municipality)	. ,	<mark>0.332</mark> ª (0.085)	. ,		<mark>0.339</mark> ª (0.067)	
$\Delta Ln(TFP)$	1.324 ^a (0.062)	0.928 ^a (0.032)	3.428 ^a (0.583)	1.298 ^a (0.063)	0.933 ^a (0.035)	3.396 ^a (0.538)
$\Delta Ln(Avg. Wages)$	-0.471 ^a (0.058)	-0.404 ^a (0.037)	-1.390 ^a (0.276)	-0.520 ^a (0.060)	-0.413 ^a (0.037)	-1.448 ^a (0.252)
F-statistic	-	15.42	-	-	25.32	-
Observations	6,137	6,137	6,137	6,080	6,080	6,080

Sample	$\begin{array}{l} Small\\ Firms\\ L \leq 25\\ (1) \end{array}$	Medium Firms $L \in (25, 50)$ (2)	Large Firms L > 50 (3)	Low prov/sec X share (4)	High prov/sec X share (5)	Low labor elasticity (6)	High labor elasticity (7)
OLS Elasticity	-0.201 ^a	-0.282 ^a	-0.175 ^a	-0.239ª	-0.162ª	-0.169 ^a	-0.249 ^a
	(0.042)	(0.054)	(0.045)	(0.040)	(0.037)	(0.038)	(0.037)
IV Elasticity	-1.221 ^a	-2.271 ^b	-1.247 ^b	- <mark>3.034^b</mark>	- <mark>0.839</mark> c	-1.606 ^a	-1.350 ^b
	(0.449)	(1.037)	(0.625)	(1.177)	(0.460)	(0.684)	(0.645)
1st Stage Coef.	<mark>0.520</mark> ª	<mark>0.294</mark> ª	<mark>0.331</mark> ª	<mark>0.235</mark> ª	<mark>0.477</mark> ª	0.372 ^a	0.333 ^a
	(0.117)	(0.113)	(0.095)	(0.073)	(0.095)	(0.084)	(0.095)
1st Stage <i>F</i> -Stat.	19.86	6.71	12.19	10.23	24.98	19.76	12.22
Observations	2,657	2,183	3,190	4,005	4,009	3,914	3,914

Alternative Instruments

Dependent Variable:	ΔLn(Domestic Sales)					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Δ Ln(Vehicles p.c. in province)	<mark>0.853</mark> ª (0.223)					
ΔLn(Distance-Population weighted vehicles p.c. in other zip codes)		0.260 ^a (0.028)	<mark>0.184</mark> ª (0.040)			
Δ Ln(Vehicles p.c. in municipality)			<mark>0.296</mark> ª (0.086)			
Ln(Urban land supply ratio in 1996)				0.029 ^b (0.012)		
Δ Ln(construction wage bill) $ imes$ 2002 wage bill share in municipality					0.331 ^a (0.054)	
$\begin{array}{l} \Delta Ln (\mbox{foreign tourists}) \ \times \\ 2002 \ \mbox{foreign tourists p.c. in province} \end{array}$						0.280 ^a (0.098)
F-statistic	14.61	86.02	43.02	6.36	38.33	8.18
			$\Delta Ln(E$	×ports)		
Δ Ln(Domestic Sales)	- <mark>1.425</mark> ª (0.400)	- <mark>1.628</mark> ª (0.527)	- <mark>1.336</mark> ª (0.395)	- <mark>1.595</mark> ^c (0.927)	- <mark>1.568</mark> ª (0.535)	- <mark>1.179</mark> ª (0.257)
p-value for Sargan Overid test	0.46	0.34	0.34	0.51	0.99	0.97
Observations	8,018 Out: Exports in	7,949	7,949	6,940	7,928	8,018

32

Confounding Factors: Labor Markets

Dependent Variable:	ole: ΔLn(Exports)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
ΔLn(Domestic Sales)	-1.602ª (0.437)	- <mark>1.443</mark> ² (0.434)	-1.655 ^a (0.480)	-1.657ª (0.484)	- <mark>1.677</mark> ª (0.478)
∆Share of Temporary Workers (firm-level)		- <mark>0.302^b</mark> (0.118)			
ΔShare of Temporary Workers (municipality-level)			-0.068 (0.194)		
ΔManufacturing Average Wages (municipality-level)				0.083 (0.140)	
ΔManufacturing Employment p.c. (municipality-level)					- <mark>0.266</mark> ª (0.057)
F-Statistic	28.32	25.83	25.34	25.16	24.82
Observations	8,018	7,649	7,746	7,748	7,748

Confounding Factors: Financial Costs

Dependent Variable:		Δ	Ln(Export	s)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Δ Ln(Domestic Sales)	-1.602 ^a (0.437)	- <mark>1.457</mark> ª (0.455)	-1.424 ^a (0.440)	-1.606 ^a (0.472)	-1.667ª (0.481)
ΔLn(Financial Costs) at firm level		- <mark>0.024</mark> (0.015)			
Financial Costs in Boom at firm level			0.002 (0.015)		
ΔLn(Financial Costs) at municipality level				-0.038 (0.032)	
Financial Costs in Boom at municipality level					0.023 (0.035)
F-Statistic	28.32	23.22	23.90	24.70	25.26
Observations	8,018	6,924	6,994	7,742	7,743

Dependent Variable:	$\Delta Ln(Exports)$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
	OLS	IV	OLS	IV		
ΔLn(Dom. Sales)	-0.204 ^a	-1.602 ^a	0.105 ^a	-1.285 ^a		
	(0.027)	(0.437)	(0.026)	(0.486)		
ΔLn(Avg. Wages)	-0.525 ^a	-1.149 ^a	-0.514 ^a	-0.873 ^a		
	(0.051)	(0.206)	(0.064)	(0.152)		
ΔLn(TFP Sales)	1.357 ^a	2.657 ^a				
· · · · ·	(0.051)	(0.409)				
$\Delta Ln(TFP Value Added)$			0.807 ^a	1.218 ^a		
((0.060)	(0.161)		
F-Statistic		28.32		24.99		
Observations	8,018	8,018	8,018	8,018		

Dependent Variable:	Δ Ln(Domestic Sales)				
Sample:	Boom firms Bust firms				
	Within	Boom vs.	Within	Boom vs.	
	Boom	Bust	Bust	Bust	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
ΔLn(Vehicles p.c. in municipality)	-0.041 (0.080)	0.184 ^{<i>b</i>} (0.074)	-0.009 (0.071)	0.277ª (0.070)	
Observations	5,344	5,344	5,245	5,245	
F-statistic	0.27	6.19	0.02	15.63	

MODEL WITH INCREASING MARGINAL COSTS

Increasing Marginal Costs

- Prior results are inconsistent with a model with constant marginal costs.
- Maintain CES demand function and monopolistic competition.
- More general cost structure: total variable cost of producing Q_{id} for the domestic market and Q_{ix} for the foreign market is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_i}\omega_i\frac{1}{\lambda+1}\left(\tau_{sd}Q_{id}+\tau_{sx}Q_{ix}\right)^{\lambda+1},\quad\lambda\geq0.$$

Increasing Marginal Costs

- Prior results are inconsistent with a model with constant marginal costs.
- Maintain CES demand function and monopolistic competition.
- More general cost structure: total variable cost of producing Q_{id} for the domestic market and Q_{ix} for the foreign market is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_i}\omega_i\frac{1}{\lambda+1}\left(\tau_{sd}Q_{id}+\tau_{sx}Q_{ix}\right)^{\lambda+1},\quad\lambda\geq0.$$

• This model leads to the following expression for market-specific sales:

$$\widehat{R}_{ij} = d_{s} + d_{\ell} + rac{(\sigma-1)}{1+\lambda} \delta_{arphi} \widehat{arphi}_{i}^{*} - rac{(\sigma-1)}{1+\lambda} \delta_{\omega} \widehat{\omega}_{it}^{*} - rac{(\sigma-1)\,\lambda}{1+\lambda} \widehat{R}_{i} + arepsilon_{ij},$$

where $R_i \equiv R_{id} + R_{ix}$ and $\varepsilon_{ix} \equiv u_{ix}^{\xi} + \frac{(\sigma-1)}{1+\lambda}(u_i^{\varphi} - u_i^{\omega})$.

 Specification identical to that in prior regressions but introducing the change in total sales R
_i (instead of domestic sales R
_{id}) as RHS variable.

		Intensive Margin	
Dependent Variable:	ΔLn(Exp) (1) OLS	ΔLn(TotSales) (2) 1st Stage	ΔLn(Exp) (3) 2nd Stage
ΔLn(Total Sales)	<mark>0.785^a</mark> (0.037)		- <mark>2.624</mark> ª (0.916)
Δ Ln(Vehicles p.c. in municipality)		<mark>0.221</mark> ª (0.046)	
$\Delta Ln(TFP)$	0.397ª (0.053)	0.985ª (0.024)	3.741 ^a (0.900)
$\Delta Ln(Avg. Wages)$	-0.095 ^b (0.047)	-0.432² (0.031)	-1.567 ^a (0.408)
F-statistic	-	23.42	-
Observations	8,018	8,018	8,018

QUANTIFICATION

• We attempt to evaluate the quantitative importance of the "vent-for-surplus" channel for explaining Spanish export growth during period 2009-13.

- We attempt to evaluate the quantitative importance of the "vent-for-surplus" channel for explaining Spanish export growth during period 2009-13.
- We first measure for each sector s the boom-to-bust changes in the Spain-wide aggregate domestic demand shifter $Q_{sd} \equiv E_{sd}/P_{sd}$

- We attempt to evaluate the quantitative importance of the "vent-for-surplus" channel for explaining Spanish export growth during period 2009-13.
- We first measure for each sector s the boom-to-bust changes in the Spain-wide aggregate domestic demand shifter $Q_{sd} \equiv E_{sd}/P_{sd}$
- We then compute the impact of several counterfactual boom-to-bust changes in Q_{sd} on the aggregate domestic, foreign, and total sales of Spanish firms; we define the counterfactual changes in Q_{sd} of interest as fractions of the observed changes in this aggregate shifter

- We attempt to evaluate the quantitative importance of the "vent-for-surplus" channel for explaining Spanish export growth during period 2009-13.
- We first measure for each sector s the boom-to-bust changes in the Spain-wide aggregate domestic demand shifter $Q_{sd} \equiv E_{sd}/P_{sd}$
- **2** We then compute the impact of several counterfactual boom-to-bust changes in Q_{sd} on the aggregate domestic, foreign, and total sales of Spanish firms; we define the counterfactual changes in Q_{sd} of interest as fractions of the observed changes in this aggregate shifter
- Finally, we perform a variance decomposition of the observed change in firms' total sales with the aim of informing the degree to which the boom-to-bust observed changes in Q_{sd} are truly due to demand or supply shocks

Quantification: Results



• We explain about 55% of growth in exports

- We have provided evidence for the substitutability between domestic and foreign markets at short/medium-term horizons.
- If a model with constant marginal costs does not fit the data, perhaps trade economists should embrace models with variable marginal costs (e.g., due to capacity constraints).
- Quantification: the vent-for-surplus channel can explain a substantial part of the Spanish "export miracle" of 2009-13.

EXTRA SLIDES

Environment

• Each firm *i* in sector *s* faces the following demand in market $j = \{d, x\}$,

$$Q_{ij} = \frac{P_{ij}^{-\sigma}}{P_{sj}^{1-\sigma}} E_{sj} \xi_{ij}^{\sigma-1}, \qquad \sigma > 1.$$

• Firm i's total cost of producing Q_{ij} for market j is,

$$c_{ij}Q_{ij}$$
 with $c_{ij}\equiv au_{sj}rac{1}{arphi_i}\omega_i.$

- Firm *i* needs to pay an exogenous fixed cost F_{ij} to sell in market *j*.
- Firm *i* chooses optimally the quantity offered in each market *j*, *Q_{ij}*, taking the price index, *P_{sj}*, as given.
- As marginal production costs are constant and per-market fixed costs are independent of the firm's participation in other markets, the optimization problem of the firm is separable across markets.