## Exchange Rate, Quality, and Export Prices

Mi Dai Yaqi Wang Jianwei Xu

December 2014

Mi Dai, Yaqi Wang, Jianwei Xu () Exchange Rate, Quality, and Export Prices

#### Introduction

- Data description
- Estimating response of export price to exchange rates
- Quality and response of export price to exchange rates
- Further discussions

- How prices respond to exchange rate movements is a classic topic in international economics.
- important to understand the price differences across countries.
- central to access the impact of exchange rates on real economic variables e.g. trade balance, unemployment
- A vast literature on exchange rate pass-through.

- Two reasons why exchange rate passthrough merits further investigation
- 1. There is still limited evidence on how the price of individual firms respond heterogeneously to exchange rates.
  - Recent trade and macro models with heterogeneous firms show that the price response to shocks could be different cross firms.
  - This heterogeneous response at firm level could have substantial impact on passthrough at an aggregate level.
- 2. which sources of firm heterogeneity matter for the response is still underexplored.
  - In particular, there is only limited evidence on how price responses to exchange rates depend on product quality.

### Introduction

- We Investigate how export prices of firms respond to exchange rate shocks using micro-level data covering the universe of Chinese exporters during 2000-2006.
- The highly disaggregate nature of the data allows us to estimate the impacts of exchange rate changes at firm-product-country level.
- We find several facts:
- 1. responses of export prices(denominated in RMB) to exchange rate shocks are low
  - A 10% depreciation of the RMB is associated with a 0.6% increase in export price.
- 2. the elasticity increases with the income level of the destination country.
  - A 10% depreciation leads to 1% increase in export price for exports to high income countries.
  - on significant impacts on export prices to low income countries.

- What explains these facts?
- A possible explanation is quality
- If the prices of low quality goods respond less to exchange rates:
- the average response of export prices to exchange rates is low in China because China mainly exports low quality products
- price respnose to exchange rates are larger for exports to high-income countries because products exported to high-income countries are associated with higher quality.

- We empirically investigate the impact of quality on exchange rate pass-through.
- We infer product quality at the firm-product level using information on prices and quantiy (Khandewal et al.,2013).
- An increase of product quality by one standard deviation increases the elasticity of export prices to exchange rates by 2.51%.
- This conclusion is robust to alternative specifications, samples, and measurements of quality
- Quantitatively, the impact of quality is comparable to other firm-level characteristics, such as productivity and import intensity.

• Literature on exchange rate pass-through.

- earlier work at country level or sectoral level. (Campa and Goldberg,2005; Gaulier et al. 2008)
- Recent studies at firm-level: Berman et al. (2012); Chatterjee et al. (2013); Amiti et al. (2013)
- Quality and exchange rate passthrough: Auer and Chaney(2012); Chen and Juvenal(2013)
- Literature on quality and trade
  - quality as an important determinant of international trade flows: Schott(2004),Hallak (2006), Fieler (2011)
  - quality as an important dimension of firm heterogeneity:Manova and Zhang(2012); Crozet et al.(2012); Kugler and Verhoogen(2012)

Introduction

#### Data description

- Estimating response of export price to exchange rates
- Quality and response of export price to exchange rates
- Further discussions

- Main data set: Transaction trade data from General Administration of China Customs.
  - Covers the universe of exporters and importers in China during 2000-2006.
  - Detailed information on export\import value and quantity,by product(HS8), country of destination/origin, trade mode, unit, etc.
  - We work at HS6 level in order to have a consistent product classification over time.
- Supplement data: Annual Survey of Industrial Firms, 2000-2006.
  - Covers all State Owned Enterprises and non-SOE that are 'above scale".
  - Balance sheet information: output, wages, material costs etc.
  - Only used for robustness checks to control for marginal cost shocks.

|  | Obs       | Mean | Std Dev | Min   | Max  |  |
|--|-----------|------|---------|-------|------|--|
| A.Based on Cumstom Data                                    |           |      |         |       |      |  |
| <pre># products (HS6)</pre>                                | 6,620,810 | 80   | 121.57  | 1     | 678  |  |
| # Destination Countries                                    | 6,620,810 | 13   | 13.86   | 1     | 68   |  |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct}$                                      | 6,620,810 | 0.01 | 0.06    | -0.17 | 0.18 |  |
| $\Delta \ln p_{fpct}$                                      | 6,620,810 | 0.02 | 0.59    | -2.04 | 2.12 |  |
| B.Based on Annual Industrial Survey of Manufacturing Firms |           |      |         |       | ns   |  |
| TFP  | 2,331,611 | 4.95 | 1.04    | 2.34  | 7.67 |  |
| Intermediate Import Ratio                                  | 2,716,886 | 0.03 | 0.09    | 0     | 0.57 |  |
| $\Delta \ln(Wage)$   | 2,711,261 | 2.71 | 0.6     | 1.14  | 4.57 |  |
| (log change of) import price                               | 1,956,778 | 0.01 | 0.05    | -0.16 | 0.23 |  |

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

э

- Introduction
- Data description
- Estimating response of export price to exchange rates
- Quality and response of export price to exchange rates
- Further discussions

$$\Delta \ln p_{\textit{fpct}} = lpha + eta \Delta \ln \textit{NER}_{\textit{ct}} + \mu_{\textit{pc}} + arphi_t + arepsilon_{\textit{fpct}}$$

- f: firm; p: product (HS6); c: country; t: year
- $\Delta \ln p_{fpct}$  : log change of export unit value(in RMB)
- Δ In NER<sub>ct</sub>: log change of nominal exchange rate (an increase in NER<sub>ct</sub> implies an depreciation of the RMB).
- μ<sub>pc</sub>: product-country fixed effects
- $\varphi_t$  : year effects
- *E*<sub>fpct</sub> : error term

(1)

|                       | (1)       | (2)       | (3)       | (4)        | (5)     |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------|
| $\Delta \ln p_{fpct}$ | Whole     | Whole     | High Inc  | Middle Inc | Low Inc |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct}$ | 0.064***  | 0.071***  | 0.102***  | 0.018**    | 0.013   |
|                       | (12.31)   | (7.94)    | (14.24)   | (1.97)     | (0.51)  |
| Fixed effects         |           |           |           |            |         |
| $\mu_{pc}$            | Y         | Ν         | Y         | Y          | Y       |
| $\mu_{fpc}$           | Ν         | Y         | Ν         | N          | Ν       |
| Year                  | Y         | Y         | Y         | Y          | Y       |
| R2                    | 0.028     | 0.534     | 0.016     | 0.06       | 0.096   |
| #Obs                  | 6,620,810 | 6,620,810 | 4,921,105 | 1,330,424  | 369,281 |

э

- Export price response to exchange rates is low.
  - Berman et al. (2012), 0.13 for French exporters.
  - Amiti et al.(2013), 0.2 for Belgium exporters.
- Response is larger for exports to high-income countries.
  - Consistent with Frankel et al.(2012).

- Introduction
- Data description
- Estimating response of export price to exchange rates
- Quality and response of export price to exchange rates
- Further discussions

- Recent theoretical development have proposed several mechanisms linking quality and exchange rate pass-through.
- models with heterogeneous markups: Berman et al. (2012); Auer and Chaney (2014)
- Distribution costs: Chen and Juvenal(2013)

 $\Delta \ln p_{fpct} = \alpha + \beta \Delta \ln NER_{ct} + \gamma \Delta \ln NER_{ct} \times Q_{fpc} + \delta Z_{ft} + \mu_{pc} + \varphi_t + \varepsilon_{fpct}$ (1)

- $\Delta \ln p_{fpct}$  : log change of export price(in RMB)
- $\Delta \ln NER_{ct}$ : log change of nominal exchange rate.
- $Q_{fpc}$  :measures of quality
- Z<sub>ft</sub> : firm-level control variables(discussed later)
- $\mu_{pc}$ : product-country fixed effects
- $\varphi_t$  : year effects
- $\varepsilon_{fpct}$  : error term

- Quality is generally unobservable and needs to be inferred from data.
- We infer quality at firm-product level following the methodology in Khandelwal et al.(2013).

$$lnx_{fhct} = \sigma \ln p_{fhct} + \phi_h + \phi_{ct} + \varepsilon_{fhct}$$
(2)

- Inx<sub>fhct</sub>: log export quantity
- In *p<sub>fhct</sub>*: log export price
- $\phi_h$ : HS6 product fixed effects
- $\phi_{ct}$  : country-year fixed effects
- $\varepsilon_{fhct}$  : error term

- Impute some value of  $\sigma$  and estimate Equation (2) by OLS and take residuals:  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{\textit{fhct}}$
- $\hat{\varepsilon}_{fhct}$  serves as a measure of quality.
- Intuition: conditional on price, varieties with higher sales have higher quality(within the same product category).
- In robustness checks we also use other quality measures.

# Benchmark results

|                                  | (1)                    | (2)            | (3)           | (4)       | (5)      |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| $\Delta \ln p_{fpct}$            | $\sigma({\sf median})$ | $\sigma(mean)$ | $\sigma = 10$ | 50%       | 75%      |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct}$            | 0.062***               | 0.062***       | 0.063***      | 0.057***  | 0.058**  |
|                                  | (11.46)                | (11.65)        | (12)          | (7.93)    | (10.13)  |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct} * Q_{fpc}$  | 0.003**                | 0.003***       | 0.002***      |           |          |
|                                  | (2.03)                 | (3.65)         | (2.59)        |           |          |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct} * HQ_{fpc}$ |                        |                |               | 0.015*    | 0.026**  |
|                                  |                        |                |               | (1.72)    | (2.81)   |
| Fixed effects                    |                        |                |               |           |          |
| $\mu_{pc}$                       | Y                      | Y              | Y             | Y         | Y        |
| Year                             | Y                      | Y              | Y             | Y         | Y        |
| R2                               | 0.028                  | 0.028          | 0.028         | 0.028     | 0.028    |
| #Obs                             | 6,573,958              | 6,573,958      | 6,573,958     | 6,573,958 | 6,573,95 |

э

- The exchange rate elasticity of export price would increase by 2.51% (=0.003\*8.35) if quality increases by a standard deviation.
- Firms with low quality increase their price 0.57% to 0.58% following a 10% depreciation. High quality firms have a stronger reaction of 0.7% to 0.9%.

- Additional Controls
- Alternative measures of quality

- Additional Controls
- Control for changes in marginal costs
  - wages
  - price of imported intermediate inputs
- Controlling for these variables requires merging the customs data with the balance sheet data.
  - the merged sample accounts for 35% of total export value in the customs data.

## Robustness Checks

|   | (1)      | (2)              | (3)      |
|---|----------|------------------|----------|
| Depdent Var.: $\Delta \ln p_{fpct}$     | Add Wage | Add Import Price | Add Both |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct}$                   | 0        | -0.011           | -0.009   |
|   | (0.03)   | (-0.80)          | (-0.61)  |
| $\Delta \ln \textit{NER}_{ct}$ *Quality | 0.032*** | 0.038***         | 0.031*** |
|   | (10.92)  | (13.45)          | (10.31)  |
| $\Delta \ln(Wage)$                      | 0.004*** |                  | 0.005*** |
|   | (3.16)   |                  | (4.27)   |
| $\Delta \ln(	ext{import price})$        |          | 0.475***         | 0.480*** |
|   |          | (42.63)          | (38.09)  |
| Fixed effects                           |          |                  |          |
| $\mu_{pc}$                              | Y        | Y                | Y        |
| Year                                    | Y        | Y                | Y        |
| R2                                      | 0.0872   | 0.0864           | 0.0933   |
| #Obs                                    | 1015885  | 1017491          | 899590   |

- Alternative measures of quality
- Intuition: within the same product, varieties with higher price have higher quality.
- Auer and Chaney (2009)

$$Q_{_{\mathit{fpct}}} = rac{p_{\mathit{fpct}} - \overline{p}_{\mathit{pct}}}{\mathit{sd}(p_{\mathit{fpct}})}$$

• Manova and Zhang (2012)

$$Q_{_{fpct}} = \ln p_{fpct} - \ln \overline{p}_{pct}$$

|   | (1)         | (2)            |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Depdent Var.: $\Delta \ln p_{fpct}$     | Quality:A&C | Quality:Manova |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct}$                   | 0.066***    | 0.075***       |
|   | (12.55)     | (12.93)        |
| $\Delta \ln \textit{NER}_{ct}$ *Quality | 0.066***    | 0.075***       |
|   | (12.55)     | (12.93)        |
| Fixed effects                           |             |                |
| $\mu_{pc}$                              | Y           | Y              |
| Year                                    | Y           | Y              |
| R2                                      | 0.028       | 0.028          |
| #Obs                                    | 6620786     | 6620810        |

э.

Image: A match a ma

- Introduction
- Data description
- Estimating response of export price to exchange rates
- Quality and response of export price to exchange rates
- Further discussions

- The role of scope for quality differentiation
- Since quality is not directly observable, one may argue that our estimated quality might reflect something other than quality.
- To address this, we exploit the variation across products in terms of the scope for quality differentiation.
- We use the Rauch(1999) classification: differentiated v.s. homogeneous.
- If quality is at work, we expect the coefficient before the interaction term to be larger for products with higher scope for quality differentiation.

|   | (1)         | (2)            |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Depdent Var.: $\Delta \ln p_{fpct}$     | Homogeneous | Differentiated |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct}$                   | 0.048***    | 0.040***       |
|   | (3.24)      | (6.45)         |
| $\Delta \ln \textit{NER}_{ct}$ *Quality | -0.007      | 0.040***       |
|   | (-1.23)     | (6.45)         |
| Fixed effects                           |             |                |
| $\mu_{pc}$                              | Y           | Y              |
| Year                                    | Y           | Y              |
| R2                                      | 0.0614      | 0.0256         |
| #Obs                                    | 568616      | 5139309        |

-

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

- Quantative implications
- How import is quality compared with other determinants of passthrough?
- Berman et al.(2012) argues that higher productivity firms have lower passthrough.
- Amiti et al.(2014) argues that firms with higher import intensity have lower passthrough.
- Is quality still important after controlling for these channels?

## Further Discussion

|                                     | (1)      | (2)              | (3)      |
|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| Depdent Var.: $\Delta \ln p_{fpct}$ | Add TFP  | Add Import Ratio | Add Both |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct}$               | -0.014   | 0.071            | 0.047    |
|                                     | (-1.11)  | (1.58)           | (1.04)   |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct}^*$ Quality     | 0.038*** | 0.035***         | 0.034*** |
|                                     | (14.26)  | (12.4)           | (12.01)  |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct}$ *TFP          |          | -0.014           | -0.012   |
|                                     |          | (-1.59)          | (-1.28)  |
| $\Delta \ln NER_{ct}$ *Import Ratio | 0.395*** |                  | 0.405*** |
| -                                   | (4.49)   |                  | (4.36)   |
| Fixed effects                       |          |                  |          |
| Country-Product                     | Y        | Y                | Y        |
| Year                                | Y        | Y                | Y        |
| R2                                  | 0.0789   | 0.0873           | 0.0873   |
| Observations                        | 1227977  | 1055361          | 1055361  |

32 / 33

æ

- Estimate responses of export prices to exchange rates using firm-product level data for China during 2000-2006.
- A low response of export prices to exchange rates.
- Higher response for exports to high-income countries.
- Exchange rate elasticity of export prices increases with constructed measures of quality.
- The low response of export prices to exchange rates in China might be explained by the low quality of Chinese products.