Innovation and Business Dynamics in U.S. Retail Trade

Prepared for:

RIETI Policy Symposium "Productivity Growth in the Global Economy: Innovation in the Service Sector and the Role of Intangible Assets"

June 22, 2007

Ron Jarmin Director of Research Center for Economic Studies U.S. Census Bureau

Disclaimer:

The views expressed here don't necessarily reflect those of the Census Bureau. All econometric results have been screened to ensure the confidentiality of individual respondents is protected.

Goals of the talk

- Review results on business dynamics and productivity
 - Discuss the role of innovation and new technology
- Argue that the role of business dynamics is more nuanced than Schumpeterian Creative Destruction
 - Differences in business dynamics across time, regions and countries can be due to a variety of policy, as well as, technology shocks
- Argue that the important role business dynamics play in the innovation process requires better statistics on business dynamics

Motivation

Productivity growth is a function of not only technology and other shocks, but how firms and markets respond to these shocks.

Recent literature stresses the role of firm and establishment turnover in reallocating resources from less to more efficient producers – Foster et. al. (2006), Haskel and Sadun (2005) and Matsuura and Motohashi(2005)

Motivation (cont.)

Thus, the ability of markets to reallocate resources is crucially important to the innovative process, productivity growth and improved living standards

Why Retail?

- Growing share of the economy
- Strong recent productivity growth
- Undergoing significant structural changes

Background: Labour productivity in retail trade (GVA per person engaged)

	US	UK	JA
Levels			
1980	100	63	62
1995	100	61	70
2002	100	56	46
Growth rates			
1980-95	2.2	2.0	3.0
1995-2002	5.4	4.3	-0.7

Source: Timmer, M and Ypma, G., (2006), "Productivity Levels in Distributive Trades: A New ICOP Dataset for OECD Countries", Working paper GD83, <u>www.ggdc.net/workpap.html</u>, Table 12 USCENSUSSBUREAU

Labor Productivity Growth (Source: EUKLEMS)



Productivity drivers in the Retail Sector

- Information Technology
 - Industry level (Jorgensen and others)
 - Firm level (Doms, Jarmin and Klimek, 2004)
 - Large chain retailers much more IT intensive and have much more productivity and employment growth

Productivity Drivers (cont.)

- Firm and Establishment Dynamics
 - Foster, Haltiwanger and Krizan(2006)
 - Contribution of net entry more important than productivity growth at continuing establishments
 - Large national retail chains play an especially important role by opening more productive establishments
 - Jarmin, Klimek and Miranda (2005)
 - show that local retail markets are increasingly served by fewer, larger firms often associated with large national chains

Modest Growth of Establishments



Growing Dominance of Chains



Long run trend in composition of retail sales

Share of Total Retail Sales for Single Units and Large Chains (>100 Stores)



Note on the Role of IT in Retail

- Prior slides show long run trend towards chain dominance pre-dates electronic computers and the Internet
 - Telephone and Telegraph helped "pre-IT" chains (need even older data)

 IT and the Internet have led to a reshuffling of the top chains but have not substantially altered the long run changes in market structure

What's so special about large retail chains?

- They operate a very large number of stores
 - Just under 14% of all retail establishments
 - Just over 12% of all retail establishments are owned by firm born before 1976.
- Large and old firms account for a very significant amount of retail job creation.

Estabs (including births): Retail, 1998



Firm Age

USCENSUSBUREAU

16

Net Job Creation (including births): Retail, 1998



Firm Age

U S C E N S U S B U R E A U

17

Large Retail Firms Cont.

- More IT investment
- Higher return on IT investment
- IT more integrated into overall business plan (McKinsey, 2004)
- More investment in advertising / brand name
- Increasing returns on these investments captured by opening more stores (Starbucks)
- Other intangibles?

Cross-Country Differences in Retail Market Structure and Dynamics

- U.S.
- Japan
- U.K.
- Coordinated research design using restricted access microdata for each country.



	Japan	UK	US
Data source	Retail Census	Business Register	Census of Retail Trade, Longitudinal Business Database
Primary data unit	Store (establishment)	Store (establishment)	Store (establishment)
Other units		Firm, Enterprise Group	Firm
Start year	1997	1998	1997
End year	2002	2002	2002
Longitudinal Linkage method		Survey ID	Longitudinal IDs, Survey IDs, Tax IDs
Classification	Industry, Region	Industry, Region	Industry, Region
Key variables	Sales, Employment	Employment	Sales, Employment
Comments		Bus Reg provides emp data. Survey gives sales and other inputs. 1997 data exists but noisy.	Employment and Sales data are from CRT and longtidinal linkages from LBD

Structure of the Retail Sector

Cross Section table for reference year = 2002	
---	--

	Japan	U.S.	UK
Number of Establishments	1,273,904	1,114,637	286,175
Establishments per 1000 pop.	10.03	3.94	4.78
Number of Firms		717,553	214,930
Single Unit Establishments	839,993	685,044	190,288
Multi Unit Establishmemts	326,167	429,593	95,887
Employment	7,146,228	14,647,675	2,663,331
average establishment emp.	6.13	13.14	9.31
average firm employment		20.41	12.39

USCENSUSBUREAU

RSJ3

スライド 21

RSJ3 Maybe add a row for 2002 population Ron S. Jarmin, 2006/08/24

Structure Cont.

Establishments Per 1000 Population

Year	Japan	U.S.	UK
1997	11.06	4.10	4.93
2002	10.03	3.94	4.78

Figure 4.1. Frequency Distributions: Firms per 1000 Residents



Source: Own Calculations from LBD

Establishment (Store) Size Distribution

Employment Size Distribution, reference year = 2002

	Japan			US		U.K.
	SU	MU	SU	MU	SU	MU
10th percentile	1	1	0	3	1	2
25th percentile	2	3	1	5	1	4
median	2	6	3	9	2	7
75th percentile	4	11	7	18	4	14
90th percentile	7	22	14	54	7	32

Basic results on Dynamics

DHS Establishment birth and death rates							
	Japan	US	<u>UK</u>				
% of Establishments							
Death Rate	35%	41%	38%				
Birth Rate	17%	40%	36%				
Employment weighted							
Death Rate	28%	26%	32%				
Birth Rate	25%	28%	33%				

More on basic dynamics

Average Employment Size			
	Japan	US	UK
emp of estabs year 1	5.02	12.51	7.97
emp of estabs in both years (continuers) year1	5.47	15.59	8.74
emp of estabs in both years (continuers) year2	5.63	22.90	9.42
emp of estabs in year 1 but not year 2 (deaths)	4.55	8.22	6.71
emp of establs in year 2 but not in year1 (births)	8.26	8.94	8.19
emp of estabs in year 2	6.32	13.14	9.92

More systematic approach to comparing the dynamics of the retail sector across the three countries

We compare the cross sectional dispersion (standard deviation) of establishment and firm growth rates. We use the DHS growth rate measure which permits entry and exit:

$$\gamma_{it} = \frac{(\chi_{it} - \chi_{it} - s)}{((\chi_{it} + \chi_{it} - s)/2)}$$

Cross Sectional Dispersion in firm and establishment growth rates

Cell based approach

- Establishment and Firm micro data for the three countries are confidential and can't leave statistical offices
- We compute comparable statistics based on the micro data for pre-defined cells.
 - Mean and standard deviation of establishment and firm employment growth rates (γ)

	Cross Sectional Dispersion Regression							
	(Dependent Variable: std dev of employment growth)							
	Model							
			All		<u>Continuing</u>	Continuing		
			Establishments	<u>All Firms</u>	Establishments	<u>firms</u>		
In	ntercept		1.257	1.452	0.488	0.604		
	noroopt		0.063	0.046	0.032	0.033		
M	/lulti-Unit		0.023	-0.179	-0.03	0.049		
			0.015	0.019	0.007	0.014		
		avgemp<2	0.38	0.217	0.007	-0.122		
			0.039	0.046	0.024	0.042		
		2<=avgemn<5	0.203	0.122	0.02	0.006		
		2 augomp o	0.026	0.027	0.014	0.022		
		5<=avgemp<10	0.196	0.049	0.051	-0.025		
		e algemp le	0.024	0.025	0.012	0.019		
		10<=avgemp<25	0.174	0.037	0.07	-0.056		
S	Size Class	ro v avgomp 20	0.022	0.022	0.011	0.016		
		25<=avgemp<50	0.133	0.039	0.067	-0.047		
			0.024	0.023	0.012	0.017		
		50<=avgemp<75	0.082	-0.0002	0.048	-0.073		
			0.027	0.028	0.013	0.02		
		75<=avgemp<100	0.08	-0.002	0.034	-0.075		
			0.03	0.033	0.015	0.024		
		100<=avgemp						
	2020		-0.927	NA	-0.246	NA		
00	apan		0.016	NA	0.008	NA		
	IK		0.044	-0.061	-0.047	-0.078		
			0.018	0.012	0.011	0.009		
U	JS							
USCElo	Observation	S	351	279	333	260		
3	3 Digit ISIC Controls		yes	yes	yes	yes		

How does this reallocation affect the composition and structure of the retail sector across different countries?

Does churning result in changing market shares?

Or is it limited to only the smallest units?

More firm entry in the US than in the UK but how do the entrants do?

To see this, we calculate the fraction all entrants ending up in the market share quintiles in 2002

Fractions of entrants in mshare quintile in 2002						
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	
UK	46%	19%	13%	12%	11%	100%
US	27%	22%	20%	17%	14%	100%

UK entrants more likely to remain at bottom

Role of Policy

- Policies that affect business dynamics can affect the rate of innovation and productivity growth
 - Example from Haskel and Sadun (2007)
 - Land use restriction led UK retail chains to open smaller stores near the center of cities towns – reversing trend to larger stores outside of city centers.
 - Related to a slowing of TFP growth.
 - Preliminary evidence from U.S. shows trend to larger stores for U.S. chain continuing.

Change in Chain Store Size in the U.K (Source: Haskel and Sadun)



Conclusions

- Business Dynamics are an important part of the innovative process
- Policies that affect the ability of firms in market economies to optimally respond to technology shocks can slow innovation and productivity growth.

Conclusions (cont.)

- However, official measures of business dynamics are relatively new and underdeveloped.
 - Recent report in U.S. by the CNSTAT
 - Upcoming official public release tables from the LBD
- Send additional questions and comments to ron.s.jarmin@census.gov