

RIETI Discussion Paper Series 07-E-012

How Would China's Exports be Affected by a Unilateral Appreciation of the RMB and a Joint Appreciation of Countries Supplying Intermediate Imports?

Mizanur RAHMAN RIETI

Willem THORBECKE RIETI



The Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry http://www.rieti.go.jp/en/

RIETI Discussion Paper Series 07-E-012

How Would China's Exports be Affected by a Unilateral Appreciation of the RMB and by a Joint Appreciation of Countries Supplying Intermediate Imports?

Mizanur Rahman* National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies and RIETI

> Willem Thorbecke** George Mason University and RIETI

> > March 2007

Abstract: In 2005 55% of China's exports were "processed exports" produced using intermediate goods that came from other countries. The lion's share of the volume of imports for processing and of the value-added of processed exports came from other East Asian countries. We investigate how a unilateral appreciation of the RMB and a joint appreciation of countries supplying intermediate inputs would affect China's exports. To do this we estimate a panel data model including ordinary and processed exports from China to 33 countries. Results obtained using generalized method of moments techniques indicate that a joint appreciation would significantly reduce China's processed exports while a unilateral appreciation would not.

Keywords: Global imbalances; exchange rate elasticities; China

JEL classification: F32, F41

Acknowledgements: We thank Menzie Chinn, Yujiro Hayami, Kaliappa Kalirajan, Akira Kawamoto, Hisaki Kono, Kozo Oikawa, Keijiro Otsuka, Yasuyuki Sawada, Ichiro Takahara, Ryuhei Wakasugi, Masaru Yoshitomi, and seminar participants at GRIPS-FASID and RIETI for extremely valuable comments.

* National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies, 7-22-1 Roppongi, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106-8677 Japan; Tel: 81-049-284-7577; Fax: 81-3-6439-6010; E-mail: D0305@stu.grips.ac.jp.

** Corresponding Author: Department of Economics, MSN 3G4, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 22030, U.S.; Tel.: (703) 993-1151; Fax: (703) 993-1133; E-mail: wthorbec@gmu.edu.

I. Introduction

China's export growth and penetration has been remarkable. While China was basically a closed economy 30 years ago, it is now the leading exporter to Japan, the second leading exporter to Europe, and the third leading exporter to the U.S.

China's explosion in exports has been accompanied by recrimination from trading partners, especially the U.S. The U.S. Congress has blamed the enormous bilateral deficit between the U.S. and China on the value of the RMB and has proposed retaliatory action if China does not allow the RMB to appreciate against the dollar. Other countries have also pushed, though less confrontationally, for an appreciation of the RMB.

Several studies investigate how an appreciation of the yuan would affect China's trade. Mann and Plück (2005), using a dynamic panel specification and disaggregated trade flows, report that price elasticities for U.S. imports from China are wrong-signed and that price elasticities for U.S. exports to China are not statistically significant. Thorbecke (2006), employing Johansen MLE and dynamic OLS techniques, finds that the long run real exchange rate coefficients for exports and imports between China and the U.S. equal approximately unity. Cheung, Chinn, and Fujii (2006), using dynamic OLS methods, find that an appreciation of the RMB increases U.S. exports to China but does not affect China's exports to the U.S. Marquez and Schindler (2006), using an autoregressive distributed lag model and China's shares in world trade, report that a 10 percent appreciation of the RMB would reduce China's share of world exports by half a percentage point and China's share of world imports by a tenth of a percentage point.

Marquez and Schindler (2006) find that disaggregating Chinese trade into processing trade and ordinary trade produces better estimates. In 2005 55% of China's

exports were classified by Chinese customs authorities as "processed exports." Processed exports are produced using intermediate goods that are imported duty free on the condition that the processed final goods do not enter China's domestic market. The lion's share of the volume of imports for processing and of the value-added of processed exports comes from other East Asian countries (see Gaulier *et al.*, 2005).

Since so much of the value-added comes from other countries, it is not clear that a unilateral appreciation of the RMB would affect the flow of processed exports much.¹ A joint appreciation of East Asian currencies would have a much larger effect on the cost of China's processed exports measured in the importing country's currency. In addition, a unilateral RMB appreciation would primarily affect the wage component of the costs of processed exports. Since China has an excess supply of labor, wages may fall to offset higher costs arising from a stronger exchange rate. Thus an appreciation of the RMB alone may not reduce China's processed exports very much.

This paper investigates how a unilateral appreciation of the RMB and a joint appreciation among countries supplying intermediate inputs would affect China's exports. To do this it employs a multivariate panel data set to estimate exchange rate and income elasticities for processed and ordinary exports from China to 33 countries. The results indicate that an appreciation among the countries supplying imports for processing would have a large effect on China's exports but that a unilateral appreciation of the RMB would not. These results imply that an appreciation of the RMB would only reduce China's multilateral trade surplus to the extent that it contributed to a generalized appreciation in Asia.

¹ Greenspan (2005) also makes this point.

The next section presents our data and methodology. Section 3 contains the results. Section 4 concludes.

2. Data and Methodology

A. Triangular Trading Patterns

China plays a key role in global production and distribution relationships. Multinational corporations, primarily in Japan, Korea, Taiwan and ASEAN, produce sophisticated technology-intensive intermediate and capital goods and ship them to China for assembly by relatively low-skilled workers. The finished products are then exported throughout the world.

Table 1 shows China's role in this triangular trading structure. The data are taken from China's Customs Statistics, which distinguishes between imports and exports linked to processing trade and ordinary imports and exports.² As discussed above, imports for processing are goods that are brought into China for processing and subsequent re-export. Processed exports, as classified by the Chinese customs authorities, are goods that are produced in this way. Imports for processing include both intermediate goods and capital goods.³ They are imported duty free and neither these imported inputs nor the finished goods produced using these imports normally enter China's domestic market. By contrast, ordinary imports are goods that are

² The website for China's Customs Statistics is www.ChinaCustomsStat.com.

³ In 2003 42% of imports for processing were parts and components, 36% were semi-finished goods, 13% were capital goods, 5% were consumption goods, and 3% were primary goods. We are indebted to Deniz Unal-Kesenci for this information.

intended for the domestic market and ordinary exports are goods that are produced primarily using local inputs.

Table 1 shows that in 2005 42% of China's imports were for processing. Of this 42%, seven-tenths came from other East Asian countries. By contrast, less than one-twentieth each came from the U.S. and from the EU.

Table 1 also shows that in 2005 55% of China's exports were processed exports. Of this 55% one quarter went to the U.S., another one quarter went to East Asia (excluding Hong Kong), one-fifth went to Hong Kong (largely as entrepôt trade), and one fifth went to Europe.

B. Data

According to this triangular trading structure, most of the value-added for Chinese processed exports comes from other (primarily East Asian) countries. Thus a unilateral appreciation of the RMB would not affect the costs of Chinese processed exports measured in the importing country's currency as much as a generalized appreciation of East Asian currencies. It is possible to differentiate between the effects of a unilateral RMB appreciation and a multilateral appreciation by employing trade-weighted exchange rates. Suppose one is trying to explain Chinese processed exports to another country (e.g., Australia). Suppose also that China's imports for processing come 35% from Japan, 35% from Taiwan, and 30% from Korea. Then to explain Chinese exports to Australia one of the explanatory variables, along with the Australian RMB bilateral exchange rate, would be the weighted exchange rate (*wrer*_{Aus}) between the countries supplying imports

4

for processing and Australia (i.e., 0.35*Australian \$/Yen + 0.35* Australian \$/NT \$ + 0.30 Australian \$/Won).

To calculate weighted exchange rates in this way we need to measure the bilateral exchange rates using a common numeraire. We can do this by employing the real exchange rate variables constructed by the Centre D'Etudes Prospectives et D'Information Internationales (CEPII). These variables compare observed exchange rates to PPP ones, and exceed 100 when the currency is overvalued. They are thus comparable both cross sectionally and over time. These variables are obtained from the CEPII-CHELEM database.

When calculating weights we include every country that supplies at least one percent of the total value of imports for processing to China. We determine weights for these countries by dividing their contribution to China's processed imports by the amount of processed imports coming from all countries supplying at least one percent of the total. As discussed above, we can then use these weights to find the weighted exchange rate (*wrer_i*) for a country *i* that purchases China's processed exports by calculating the inner product of the weights and the bilateral real exchange rates between the countries supplying imports for processing and country *i*. We recalculate the weights and *wrer_i* each year.

We include in our estimation *wrer*^{*i*} and the bilateral RMB real exchange rate (rer_i) with the importing country *i*. We also include real income in the importing country $(rgdp_i)$, the Chinese capital stock in manufacturing (K_i) , and a set of gravity variables. $rgdp_i$ is measured in millions of PPP dollars. K_i is measured at constant prices. The gravity variables include distance and dummy variables indicating whether the two countries are contiguous, share a common language, and have a colonial link. The real GDP data come from the CEPII-CHELEM database, the Chinese capital stock data are constructed by Bai, Hsieh, and Qian (2006), and the gravity variables are obtained from www.cepii.fr.

Our panel is composed of processed and ordinary exports from China to 33 countries over the 1992-2005 period.⁴ The advantage of this data set is that, while the U.S. dollar/RMB exchange rate has not changed very much, there has been substantial variation both cross-sectionally and over time in $wrer_i$ and rer_i relative to the 33 countries purchasing exports. This approach should thus help us to identify in an econometric sense how exchange rate changes affect China's multilateral exports.⁵

Data on imports for processing and on ordinary and processed exports are obtained from China's Customs Statistics. The data are all measured in U.S. dollars.

We deflate the export data in three ways. First, following Cheung, Chinn, and Fujii (2006), we deflate Chinese exports using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) price deflator for imports from non-industrial countries. Cheung *et al.* find that this series closely matches the BLS price deflator for imports from China, which became available in 2003. Second, we use the Hong Kong export price deflator. Since many of Hong Kong's exports are re-exports from China, this measure may be a useful proxy for Chinese export prices. Third, following Eichengreen *et al.* (2004), we use the U.S.

⁴ The countries are: Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the Philippines, Portugal, Russian Federation, Singapore, South Korea, Spain, Sweden, Taiwan, Thailand, the United Kingdom, and the United States.

⁵ It is particularly difficult to investigate the effects of a change in the bilateral RMB exchange rate holding the weighted exchange rate constant. However, since currencies such as the yen and won have fluctuated substantially against the dollar while the RMB has not, there may be enough independent variation in

 $wrer_i$ and rer_i across the 33 countries to make it possible to estimate the individual parameters.

consumer price index to deflate China's exports. This measure would be appropriate if the bundle of goods and services exported from China corresponds to the bundle purchased by U.S. consumers. The results reported below are very similar regardless of which deflator we use.

C. The Imperfect Substitutes Framework

According to the imperfect substitutes model of Goldstein and Khan (1985), the quantity of China's exports *demanded* by other countries depends on income in the other countries and the price of China's exports relative to the price of domestically produced goods in those countries. The quantity of exports *supplied* by China depends on the export price relative to China's price level. By equating demand and supply one can derive an export function (see, e.g., Chinn, 2005):

$$ex_t = \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11} rer_t + \alpha_{12t} rgdp_t * + \varepsilon_{1t}$$
(2)

where ex_t represents real exports, rer_t represents the real exchange rate, and rgdp * represents foreign real income.

If the elasticity of supply is infinite, it is possible to identify the parameters in equation (1). In the case of China's exports there are reasons to believe that the perfect supply elasticity assumption is reasonable. China has between 150 and 200 million redundant rural laborers, 7-8 million new workers joining the labor force each year, and

14 million urban workers who are unemployed or underemployed.⁶ This large pool of workers seeking employment in the export sector may enable Chinese exporters to increase supply at constant prices.

In addition, as the IMF (2005) argues, the supply of imports for processing will vary one for one with the demand for processed exports. Marquez and Schindler (2006) present formal evidence supporting this assertion. They report that the coefficient on imports for processing is nearly always one in regressions where the dependent variable is China's processed exports. Thus sophisticated intermediate and capital goods tend to flow elastically into China's processed export industries to accommodate increases in demand in the rest of the world.

We do attempt to control for any changes in the supply of exports by including the Chinese capital stock in manufacturing. Cheung, Chinn, and Fujii (2006) employ this variable as a proxy for China's supply capacity.⁷

D. The Econometric Model

To determine the appropriate econometric specification we first investigate the time series properties of the data. As reported in the Appendix, Levin-Lin-Chu panel unit root tests indicate that real exports and real gross domestic product are trend stationary series following first-order autoregressive error processes. The tests also indicate that the exchange rate variables are I(0) stationary series.

Since our model contains lagged values of the dependent variable, the error term will be correlated with a right hand side variable. Arellano and Bond (1991) propose a

⁶ We are indebted to the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences for these data.

⁷ The series on China's capital stock in manufacturing was constructed by Bai, Hsieh, and Qian (2006).

generalized method of moments (GMM) estimator to correct for the resulting bias. They recommend first-differencing the equation to be estimated and then using lagged values of the levels as instruments.

Blundell and Bond (1998) show that if the variables employed as instruments are persistent over time, lagged levels of these variables will be weak instruments and the resulting coefficient estimates will be biased in small samples. Results presented in the Appendix indicate that the variables are persistent.

We thus use the extended instrument matrix proposed by Blundell and Bond (1998) and employ GMM system estimation rather than GMM first-difference techniques. To avoid overfitting, we collapse the instrument matrix. The instruments we employ are presented in the Appendix.

To model the individual export equations we use an autoregressive distributed lag model of order 2,2:

$$ex_{ii} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ex_{ii-1} + \beta_2 ex_{ii-2} + \gamma_0 rer_{ii} + \gamma_1 rer_{ii-1} + \gamma_2 rer_{ii-2} + \eta_0 wrer_{ii} + \eta_1 wrer_{ii-1} + \eta_2 wrer_{ii-2} + \lambda_0 rgdp_{ii} + \lambda_1 rgdp_{ii-1} + \lambda_2 rgdp_{ii-2} + \alpha_0 K_i + \alpha_1 K_{i-1} + \alpha_2 K_{i-2} + \psi' z + \mu_i + u_{ii}, t = 3, \dots, T; \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$
(3)

Here ex_{ii} represents real exports (either processed or ordinary) from China to country *i*, rer_{ii} represents the bilateral real exchange rate between China and country *i* (an increase denotes an appreciation of the Chinese real exchange rate), $wrer_{ii}$ represents the multilateral weighted real exchange rate between countries providing imports for processing to China and country *i* that purchases exports from China (an increase represents an appreciation of the weighted exchange rate), $rgdp_{it}$ equals real income in the importing country, K_t denotes the Chinese capital stock in manufacturing, z is a set of gravity variables (distance and dummy variables indicating whether the two countries are contiguous, share a common language, and have a colonial link), and μ_i is a country *i* fixed effect. The variables are measured in natural logs. ex_{it} , rer_{it} , $wrer_{it}$, and $rgdp_{it}$ vary both over time and across countries; z only varies across countries.; and K_t only varies across time. Our sample includes annual exports to 33 countries over the 1992-2005 period.

3. Results

Tables 2 and 3 present our basic findings. Focusing first on the diagnostic tests, Hansen's J-statistics for all specifications in both tables are too small to reject the null hypothesis that the instruments are valid. The m1 and m2 test statistics for first- and second-order serial correlation in the first-differenced residuals indicate, as required, that while we can often reject the null hypothesis of no first-order autocorrelation we cannot reject the null hypothesis of no second-order autocorrelation at the 5 percent level.

Table 2 presents results for processed exports. Exports are deflated using all three deflators. In all three cases, the model is estimated once including gravity variables and a trend term and once excluding these. The results are similar across all six specifications.

The income coefficient is positive and statistically significant in every specification. The income elasticity is about 3. The relatively high income elasticity probably reflects the fact that many of the processed exports are sophisticated, high-tech goods that consumers are more likely to purchase as their incomes increase.

The coefficient on the weighted exchange rate is of the expected negative sign, indicating that an appreciation of *wrer* reduces processed exports. The coefficient is statistically significant in every specification and the elasticity averages about 2. The coefficient on the RMB exchange rate, however, is of the wrong sign in every specification. These results indicate that it is the exchange rates among countries supplying intermediate imports rather than the RMB exchange rate that matters for China's processed exports.

Finally, the Chinese capital stock variable is always positive and significant. The coefficients range from 3 to 4.75. Cheung, Chinn, and Fujii (2006) also reported positive and significant values for this variable, with coefficients ranging from 1.5 to 4.5.

Table 3 presents our findings for ordinary exports. Exports are again deflated using all three deflators and the model is again estimated both including gravity variables and a trend term and excluding these. The results are similar across the six specifications.

The income coefficient is positive and statistically significant in every case. The income elasticity averages a little more than 2. These values are less than the elasticities for processed exports. This probably reflects the fact that, compared with processed exports, ordinary exports contain more traditional goods and lower-value added products (e.g., toys) that have lower income elasticities of demand.

The coefficient on the weighted exchange rate is of the expected negative sign, indicating that an appreciation of *wrer* reduces ordinary exports. The coefficient is statistically significant in every specification and the elasticity averages about 1.5. The coefficient on the RMB exchange rate, however, is of the wrong sign in every case. These results indicate that it is the exchange rate among countries supplying intermediate

imports rather than the RMB exchange rate that matters for China's ordinary exports.

Finally, the Chinese capital stock variable is always positive and statistically significant. The coefficients range from 1.5 to 2. These values are smaller than those reported in Table 2 for processed exports. This perhaps reflects the fact that ordinary exports are produced using less capital-intensive technologies.

Tables 4-7 test the robustness of the findings reported in Tables 2 and 3. They include specifications without the capital stock and specifications where *rer* and *wrer* are treated as exogenous rather than predetermined. Across all the specifications, the elasticities for income and *wrer* remain of the expected signs and statistically significant and the elasticity for *rer* remains either of the wrong sign or of the right sign but not statistically significant. Thus the evidence in Tables 4-7 supports the results presented in Tables 2 and 3.

An important implication of these findings is that what matters for China's exports is not the RMB exchange rate but the weighted exchange rate among countries supplying intermediate imports. According to these results, an RMB appreciation would reduce China's exports only to the extent that it contributed to a generalized appreciation in Asia.

A second important implication of these results is that a slowdown in the rest of the world would significantly reduce processing trade. The income elasticity for processed exports equals 3. A downturn outside of Asia could thus cause a large drop in China's processed exports. This in turn would decrease the flow of intermediate goods from the rest of Asia into China, reducing employment and output throughout the region.

4. Conclusion

China has progressed from being virtually a closed economy 30 years ago to being the largest exporter of manufactured goods in 2006. This surge in exports has been accompanied by recrimination from trading partners, especially the U.S. The U.S. Congress has demanded that China let the RMB appreciate.

Greenspan (2005) and others have argued that, because of triangular trading patterns in Asia, a unilateral appreciation of the RMB would not affect China's exports much. We investigate how a unilateral appreciation of the RMB and a joint appreciation among countries supplying intermediate inputs would affect China's exports. To do this we estimate a panel that includes ordinary and processed exports from China to 33 countries. The results indicate that a joint appreciation would significantly reduce China's multilateral exports but that a unilateral appreciation would not.

A move towards a more flexible regime in China might nonetheless help to resolve global trade imbalances. There is currently a prisoner's dilemma problem in East Asia. Fear of losing competitiveness relative to other Asian economies causes individual countries in the region to prevent their currencies from appreciating (see Ogawa and Ito, 2002).

This problem could be mitigated if China and other countries in the region with less flexible exchange rates adopted more flexible regimes. In this case the large surpluses that East Asia is running in processing trade would allow currencies in the region to appreciate together. If market forces led to joint appreciations in this way, they would help to maintain relatively stable intra-regional exchange rates in the face of the current global imbalances.⁸

⁸ Relatively stable exchange rates within East Asia would provide other benefits. They would attenuate effective exchange rate changes arising from currency appreciations since intra-regional

For China and other developing Asian countries more flexible regimes could be characterized by 1) multiple currency basket-based reference rates instead of a dollarbased central rate, and 2) wider bands around the reference rate. Greater exchange rate flexibility in the context of a multiple currency basket-based reference rate would probably be preferable to a free floating regime for Asian countries with underdeveloped financial institutions. It would allow their currencies to appreciate in response to global imbalances but still enable policy makers to limit excessive volatility.

China's global current account surplus in 2006 exceeded 8 percent of Chinese GDP. The Chinese government, in its 2006-2010 five year plan, recognized the need to rebalance its economy. The results reported here indicate that an RMB appreciation alone would not help to achieve this goal. However, if an RMB appreciation led to a generalized appreciation in the region, it would be effective. A generalized appreciation would also help to maintain relative exchange rate stability in Asia. This, in turn, would provide a steady backdrop for the regional production and distribution networks that have led to enormous efficiency gains in recent years.

References

Anderson, T. W., and Hsiao, C. 1981. Estimation of Dynamic Models with Error Components. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 76(375): 598-606.

trade accounts for 55% of total trade. They would also help to maintain FDI flows and provide a steady backdrop for regional production and distribution networks. See Yoshitomi *et al.* (2005) for a discussion of these issues.

- Anderson, T. W., and Hsiao, C. 1982. Formulation and Estimation of Dynamic Models Using Panel Data. *Journal of Econometrics* 18, 47-82.
- Arellano, M., and Bond, S.R. 1991. Some Tests of Specification for Panel Data: Monte Carlo Evidence and an Application to Employment Equations. *Review of Economic Studies*, 58, 277-297.
- Arellano, M., and Bover, O. 1995. Another Look at the Instrumental-variable Estimation of Error-components Models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 68: 29-51.
- Bai, C., Hsieh, C., and Qian, Q. 2006. Returns to Capital in China. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* forthcoming.
- Blundell, R.W., and Bond, S.R. 1998. Initial Conditions and Moment Restrictions in Dynamic Panel Data Models. *Journal of Econometrics* 87, 115-143.
- Breitung, J., and Pesaran, H. forthcoming. Unit Roots and Cointegration in Panels. In
 L. Matyas and P. Sevestre, (eds.), *The Econometrics of Panel Data: Fundamentals and Recent Developments in Theory and Practice*, Kluwer, Boston.
- Cheung, Y., Chinn, M., and Fujii, E. 2006. China's Current Account and Exchange Rate. Paper presented at NBER Conference on China's Growing Role in World Trade, Cambridge, MA, 14 October 2006.
- Chinn, M. 2005. Doomed to Deficits? Aggregate U.S. Trade Flows Re-visited. *Review of World Economics* 141, 460-85.
- Eichengreen, B., Rhee, Y., and Tong, H. 2004. The Impact of China on the Exports of Other Asian Countries, NBER Working Paper No. 10768, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- Fuller, W.A. 1976. Introduction to Statistical Time Series. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- Gaulier, G., Lemoine, F., and Unal-Kesenci, D. 2005. China's Integration in East Asia: Production Sharing, FDI, and High-tech Trade. CEPII Working Paper No. 2005-09, Centre D'Etudes Prospectives et D'Information Internationales, Paris.
- Greenspan, A. 2005. China. Testimony before the U.S. Senate Committee on Finance, 23 June 2005, Federal Reserve Board, Washington, DC.
- Hansen, L. P., 1982. Large Sample Properties of Generalized Method of Moments Estimators. *Econometrica* 50, 1029-1054.

- Holtz-Eakin, Newey, D., W. and Rosen, H. 1988. Estimating Vector Autoregressions with Panel Data. *Econometrica*, 56: 1371-1395.
- IMF, 2005. Asia-Pacific Economic Outlook, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Levin, A., Lin, C., and Chu, C. 2002. Unit Roots in Panel Data: Asymptotic and Finite-Sample Properties. *Journal of Econometrics* 108, 1-24.
- Mann, C. L., and Plück, K. 2005. The US Trade Deficit: A Disaggregated Perspective.IIE Working Paper No. WP 05-11. Institute for International Economics, Washington, DC.
- Marquez, J. and Schindler, J. 2006. Exchange Rate Effects on China's Trade: An Interim Report. International Finance Discussion Paper, No. 861. Federal Reserve Board, Washington, DC.
- Nickell, S. 1981. Biases in Dynamic Models with Fixed Effects. *Econometrica*, 49: 1417-1426.
- Ogawa, E., and Ito, T. 2002. On the Desirability of a Regional Basket Currency Arrangement. *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies* 16, 317-334.
- Pesaran, M. H. 2003. A Simple Panel Unit Root Test in the Presence of Cross Section Dependence. *Cambridge Working Papers in Economics 0346*, Faculty of Economics (formerly DAE), University of Cambridge.
- Sims, C., Stock, J., and Watson, M. 1990. Inference in Linear Time Series Models with Some Unit Roots. *Econometrica* 58, 113-144.
- Thorbecke, W. 2006. How Would an Appreciation of the Renminbi Affect the U.S. Trade Deficit with China? *The B.E. Journal in Macroeconomics* 6, No. 3, Article 3.
- Yoshitomi, M., Liu, L., and Thorbecke, W. 2005. East Asia's Role in Resolving the New Global Imbalances. Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (RIETI), Tokyo.

Table 1

China's processing trade – 1993 and 2005

		C Karaa ⁸	Imports (%)					
	World	S. Korea & Taiwan	Japan	ASEAN 5	Hong Kong	United States	Europe	Rest of World
1993								
Total Imports	100	18	22	6	10	10	15	19
Normal Imports Imports for	37	2	8	3	1	5	8	9
Processing	35	11	8	2	7	2	2	3
Others	28	5	7	1	2	3	6	5
2005								
Total Imports	100	23	15	11	2	7	11	31
Normal Imports	42	6	5	3	1	4	6	17
Imports for								
Processing	42	14	7	6	1	2	2	10
Others	16	3	3	2	0	2	2	4
			Exports (%)					
		S. Korea &						
	World	Taiwan	Japan	ASEAN 5	Hong Kong	United States	Europe	Rest of World
1993	100							
Total Exports	100	5	17	5	24	18	13	18
Normal Exports	~ ~ ~						_	~
•	47	2	10	4	10	6	7	9
Processed Exports	47	2 2	10 7	4 1	10 14	6 13	7 7	9 4
Processed Exports Others	47 48 5	2 2 0	10 7 0	4 1 0	10 14 0	6 13 0	7 7 0	9 4 5
Processed Exports Others	47 48 5	2 2 0	10 7 0	4 1 0	10 14 0	6 13 0	7 7 0	9 4 5
Processed Exports Others 2005	47 48 5	2 2 0	10 7 0	4 1 0	10 14 0	6 13 0	7 7 0	9 4 5
Processed Exports Others 2005 Total Exports	47 48 5	2 2 0 7	10 7 0 11	4 1 0 6	10 14 0 16	6 13 0 21	7 7 0 17	9 4 5 21
Processed Exports Others 2005 Total Exports Normal Exports	47 48 5 100 41	2 2 0 7 3	10 7 0 11 4	4 1 0 6 3	10 14 0 16 3	6 13 0 21 7	7 7 0 17 7	9 4 5 21 13
Processed Exports Others 2005 Total Exports Normal Exports Processed Exports	47 48 5 100 41 55	2 2 0 7 3 3	10 7 0 11 4 7	4 1 0 6 3 3	10 14 0 16 3 12	6 13 0 21 7 14	7 0 17 7 10	9 4 5 21 13 6

Table 1 (continued).

China's processing trade – 1993 and 2005

Balance of Trade (billions of US Dollars)								
1993	World	S. Korea &Taiwan	Japan	ASEAN 5	Hong Kong	United States	Europe	Rest of World
Balance of trade	-12.2	-14.0	-7.5	-1.3	11.60	6.3	-3.5	-3.8
Normal trade	5.2	0.3	0.7	-0.1	7.7	0	-2	-1.5
Processing trade	7.9	-9.5	-1.3	-0.6	5.7	9.7	4.2	-0.3
Others	-25.2	-4.9	-6.9	-0.6	-1.7	-3.4	-5.8	-1.9
2005								
Balance of trade	102.00	-99.84	-16.42	-23.81	112.25	114.27	61.37	-45.81
Normal trade	35.43	-12.91	-2.45	1.95	21.59	26.91	14.36	-14.01
Processing trade	142.46	-69.25	4.49	-13.31	85.05	92.94	60.42	-17.88
Others	-75.88	-17.69	-18.46	-12.44	5.61	-5.58	-13.41	-13.91

Notes: Source: Gaulier, Lemoine, and Nal-Kesenci (2005), China's Customs Statistics, and calculations by the authors. Europe includes Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Italy, Portugal, Spain, and Sweden.

Tabl	e	2
1 u U I	· •	_

GMM estimates of export demand equations, China's processed exports to 33 countries over the 1992-2005 period

			Exports d	eflated by		
	BLS	BLS	Hong	Hong	U.S.	U.S.
	Manu-	Manu-	Kong	Kong	CPI	CPI
	facturing	facturing	Export	Export		
	Price	Price	Price	Price		
Independent variables	Deflator	Deflator	Deflator	Deflator		
Lagged Exports	0.675***	0.696***	0.659***	0.678***	0.663***	0.677***
	(0.072)	(0.067)	(0.072)	(0.066)	(0.072)	(0.065)
Real GDP	2.809***	3.021***	2.826***	3.038***	2.817***	3.038***
	(0.475)	(0.583)	(0.480)	(0.586)	(0.486)	(0.597)
Bilateral RER	1.990***	1.543***	1.884***	1.536***	1.678***	1.290**
	(0.525)	(0.473)	(0.523)	(0.476)	(0.514)	(0.480)
Weighted RER	-2.534***	-1.970***	-2.422***	-1.954***	-2.214***	-1.699***
	(0.531)	(0.392)	(0.524)	(0.391)	(0.512)	(0.391)
Capital Stock	4.754**	3.076**	4.337**	3.058**	4.658**	3.319**
	(1.909)	(1.348)	(1.884)	(1.352)	(1.906)	(1.375)
Time	-0.168		-0.134		-0.143	
	(0.117)		(0.117)		(0.115)	
Common Language	0.174		0.180		0.178	
	(0.188)		(0.189)		(0.190)	
Contiguous Countries	0.100		0.110		0.118	
	(0.130)		(0.130)		(0.129)	
Distance	-0.119		-0.126		-0.129	
	(0.099)		(0.100)		(0.101)	
ml	-2.88***	-2.99***	-2.85***	-2.94***	-2.80***	-2.89***
m2	-0.91	-1.29	-1.00	-1.37	-1.01	-1.35
Hansen J-statistic	0.882	0.604	0.842	0.683	0.877	0.708
No. of Observations	396	396	396	396	396	396
	*	*** p<0.01, **	p<0.05, * p<0	0.1		

- 1. The estimated model is the autoregressive and distributed lag model of order 2. Each column reports onestep system GMM estimates **assuming that the bilateral RER and weighted RER are predetermined and that the other variables are exogenous.** The corresponding two-step system GMM estimates are largely similar and hence not reported. Parameter estimates of the distributed lag terms are omitted for brevity.
- 2. Asymptotic standard errors robust to general cross-section and time series heteroscedasticity are reported in parentheses.
- 3. m1 and m2 are tests for the first-order and second-order serial correlation in the first-differenced residuals, asymptotically distributed as N(0, 1) under the null of no serial correlation.
- 4. Hansen's J-statistic provides a test of the validity of the moment conditions. P-values for these tests are reported.
- The GMM-style instruments used in all the estimations are: For the difference equations: ex_{it-2}, ex_{it-3}, ..., ex_{i1}, rer_{it-1}, rer_{it-2}, ..., rer_{i1} and

wrer_{it-1}, wrer_{it-2}, \cdots , wrer_{i1}, where $t = 4, \cdots, T$.

For the level equations: Δex_{it-1} , Δrer_{it} and $\Delta wrer_{it}$, where $t = 4, \dots, T$.

			Exports d	eflated by		
	BLS	BLS	Hong	Hong	U.S.	U.S.
	Manu-	Manu-	Kong	Kong	CPI	CPI
	facturing	facturing	Export	Export		
In dan an dant armightan	Price	Price	Price	Price		
Independent variables	Deflator	Deflator	Deflator	Deflator		
Lagged Exports	0.406***	0.513***	0.393***	0.503***	0.399***	0.515***
	(0.076)	(0.079)	(0.074)	(0.077)	(0.075)	(0.073)
Real GDP	2.163***	2.297***	2.171***	2.295***	2.134***	2.254***
	(0.626)	(0.607)	(0.629)	(0.606)	(0.624)	(0.602)
Bilateral RER	0.868**	1.081***	0.806**	1.124***	0.548*	0.816**
	(0.335)	(0.346)	(0.334)	(0.348)	(0.310)	(0.336)
Weighted RER	-1.480***	-1.750***	-1.412***	-1.789***	-1.155***	-1.477***
	(0.318)	(0.356)	(0.318)	(0.360)	(0.300)	(0.348)
Capital Stock	1.958***	1.523*	1.620**	1.546**	1.890**	1.856**
	(0.699)	(0.761)	(0.703)	(0.755)	(0.705)	(0.746)
Time	0.007		0.042		0.035	
	(0.060)		(0.061)		(0.060)	
Common Language	0.163		0.170		0.168	
	(0.195)		(0.197)		(0.198)	
Contiguous Countries	0.232		0.248*		0.260*	
	(0.139)		(0.138)		(0.140)	
Distance	-0.144		-0.153		-0.157	
	(0.125)		(0.126)		(0.128)	
ml	-1.56	-1.50	-1.56	-1.50	-1.62*	-1.56
m2	-0.31	-0.42	0.43	-0.51	-0.41	-0.45
Hansen J-statistic	0.805	0.474	0.757	0.601	0.655	0.612
No. of Observations	396	396	396	396	396	396
	*	*** p<0.01, **	p<0.05, * p<0).1		

Table 3 GMM estimates of export demand equations, China's ordinary exports to 33 countries over the 1992-2005 period

- 1. The estimated model is the autoregressive and distributed lag model of order 2. Each column reports onestep system GMM estimates **assuming that the bilateral RER and weighted RER are predetermined and that the other variables are exogenous.** The corresponding two-step system GMM estimates are largely similar and hence not reported. Parameter estimates of the distributed lag terms are omitted for brevity.
- 2. Asymptotic standard errors robust to general cross-section and time series heteroscedasticity are reported in parentheses.
- 3. m1 and m2 are tests for the first-order and second-order serial correlation in the first-differenced residuals, asymptotically distributed as N(0, 1) under the null of no serial correlation.
- 4. Hansen's J-statistic provides a test of the validity of the moment conditions. P-values for these tests are reported.
- The GMM-style instruments used in all the estimations are: For the difference equations: ex_{it-2}, ex_{it-3}, ..., ex_{i1}, rer_{it-1}, rer_{it-2},..., rer_{i1} and

wrer_{it-1}, wrer_{it-2}, \cdots , wrer_{i1}, where $t = 4, \cdots, T$.

For the level equations: Δex_{it-1} , Δrer_{it} and $\Delta wrer_{it}$, where $t = 4, \dots, T$.

			Exports d	eflated by		
	BLS	BLS	Hong	Hong	U.S.	U.S.
	Manu-	Manu-	Kong	Kong	CPI	CPI
	facturing	facturing	Export	Export		
T 1 1 / 11	Price	Price	Price	Price		
Independent variables	Deflator	Deflator	Deflator	Deflator		
Lagged Exports	0.741***	0.783***	0.732***	0.778***	0.760***	0.835***
	(0.073)	(0.065)	(0.073)	(0.064)	(0.072)	(0.061)
Real GDP	2.779***	2.691***	2.776***	2.714***	2.856***	2.778***
	(0.542)	(0.657)	(0.541)	(0.654)	(0.555)	(0.650)
Bilateral RER	0.602*	0.061	0.565	0.114	0.344	0.130
	(0.349)	(0.318)	(0.349)	(0.317)	(0.361)	(0.339)
Weighted RER	-1.288***	-0.679**	-1.251***	-0.732**	-1.026***	-0.782***
	(0.366)	(0.274)	(0.364)	(0.273)	(0.369)	(0.281)
Capital Stock						
Time	-0.012		-0.007		0.013	
	(0.018)		(0.018)		(0.017)	
Common Language	0.241		0.239		0.244	
	(0.172)		(0.175)		(0.171)	
Contiguous Countries	0.160		0.163		0.185*	
	(0.110)		(0.111)		(0.108)	
Distance	-0.168*		-0.168		-0.179*	
	(0.098)		(0.099)		(0.102)	
ml	-2.97***	-3.08***	-2.95***	-3.06***	-2.97***	-3.09***
m2	-0.53	-0.87	-0.66	-0.95	-0.46	-0.71
Hansen J-statistic	0.735	0.596	0.758	0.618	0.712	0.559
No. of Observations	396	396	396	396	396	396
	*	*** p<0.01, **	^c p<0.05, * p<0).1		

Table 4 GMM estimates of export demand equations, China's processed exports to 33 countries over the 1992-2005 period

- 2. Asymptotic standard errors robust to general cross-section and time series heteroscedasticity are reported in parentheses.
- 3. m1 and m2 are tests for the first-order and second-order serial correlation in the first-differenced residuals, asymptotically distributed as N(0, 1) under the null of no serial correlation.
- 4. Hansen's J-statistic provides a test of the validity of the moment conditions. P-values for these tests are reported.
- The GMM-style instruments used in all the estimations are: For the difference equations: ex_{it-2}, ex_{it-3}, ..., ex_{i1}, rer_{it-1}, rer_{it-2}, ..., rer_{i1} and

wrer_{it-1}, wrer_{it-2}, \cdots , wrer_{il}, where $t = 4, \cdots, T$.

For the level equations: Δex_{it-1} , Δrer_{it} and $\Delta wrer_{it}$, where $t = 4, \dots, T$.

The estimated model is the autoregressive and distributed lag model of order 2. The model excludes the capital stock variable in all cases. Each column reports one-step system GMM estimates assuming that the bilateral RER and weighted RER are predetermined and that the other variables are exogenous. The corresponding two-step system GMM estimates are largely similar and hence not reported. Parameter estimates of the distributed lag terms are omitted for brevity.

			Exports d	eflated by		
	BLS	BLS	Hong	Hong	U.S.	U.S.
	Manu-	Manu-	Kong	Kong	CPI	CPI
	facturing	facturing	Export	Export		
	Price	Price	Price	Price		
Independent variables	Deflator	Deflator	Deflator	Deflator		
Lagged Exports	0.485***	0.521***	0.475***	0.516***	0.517***	0.561***
	(0.092)	(0.081)	(0.089)	(0.080)	(0.096)	(0.081)
Real GDP	1.901***	1.897***	1.880***	1.899***	1.859***	1.895***
	(0.648)	(0.638)	(0.651)	(0.636)	(0.645)	(0.627)
Bilateral RER	0.105	-0.323	0.102	-0.249	-0.274	-0.313
	(0.292)	(0.193)	(0.294)	(0.192)	(0.266)	(0.198)
Weighted RER	-0.888***	-0.449***	-0.887***	-0.526***	-0.531**	-0.522***
	(0.270)	(0.149)	(0.270)	(0.148)	(0.251)	(0.157)
Capital Stock						
Time	-0.013		-0.008		0.012	
_	(0.025)		(0.024)		(0.024)	
Common Language	0.064		0.067		0.032	
	(0.162)		(0.158)		(0.160)	
Contiguous Countries	0.134		0.140		0.138	
	(0.144)		(0.139)		(0.157)	
Distance	-0.075		-0.078		-0.068	
	(0.112)		(0.110)		(0.114)	
ml	-1.66	-1.65	-1.67*	-1.66*	-1.79*	-1.76*
m2	0.40	-0.60	-0.51	-0.68	-0.41	-0.55
Hansen J-statistic	0.672	0.604	0.677	0.570	0.574	0.488
No. of Observations	396	396	396	396	396	396
	*	*** p<0.01, **	p<0.05, * p<0).1		

Table 5 GMM estimates of export demand equations, China's ordinary exports to 33 countries over the 1992-2005 period

 The estimated model is the autoregressive and distributed lag model of order 2. The model excludes the capital stock variable in all cases. Each column reports one-step system GMM estimates assuming that the bilateral RER and weighted RER are predetermined and that the other variables are exogenous. The corresponding two-step system GMM estimates are largely similar and hence not reported. Parameter estimates of the distributed lag terms are omitted for brevity.

2. Asymptotic standard errors robust to general cross-section and time series heteroscedasticity are reported in parentheses.

3. m1 and m2 are tests for the first-order and second-order serial correlation in the first-differenced residuals, asymptotically distributed as N(0, 1) under the null of no serial correlation.

4. Hansen's J-statistic provides a test of the validity of the moment conditions. P-values for these tests are reported.

 The GMM-style instruments used in all the estimations are: For the difference equations: ex_{it-2}, ex_{it-3}, ..., ex_{i1}, rer_{it-1}, rer_{it-2}, ..., rer_{i1} and

wrer_{it-1}, wrer_{it-2}, \cdots , wrer_{i1}, where $t = 4, \cdots, T$.

For the level equations: Δex_{it-1} , Δrer_{it} and $\Delta wrer_{it}$, where $t = 4, \dots, T$.

		Exports d	eflated by		
BLS	BLS	Hong	Hong	U.S.	U.S.
Manu-	Manu-	Kong	Kong	CPI	CPI
facturing	facturing	Export	Export		
Price	Price	Price	Price		
Deflator	Deflator	Deflator	Deflator		
0.639***	0.610***	0.612***	0.572***	0.617***	0.574***
(0.137)	(0.217)	(0.135)	(0.206)	(0.131)	(0.197)
2.714***	3.079***	2.750***	3.084***	2.669***	3.140***
(0.538)	(0.635)	(0.544)	(0.630)	(0.543)	(0.651)
1.884***	1.391***	1.806***	1.401***	1.550***	1.159**
(0.561)	(0.487)	(0.553)	(0.489)	(0.537)	(0.493)
-2.413***	-1.781***	-2.325***	-1.774***	-2.077***	-1.508***
(0.563)	(0.481)	(0.545)	(0.454)	(0.532)	(0.451)
4.708***	3.132**	4.384***	3.274**	4.735***	3.567**
(1.577)	(1.307)	(1.521)	(1.348)	(1.565)	(1.372)
-0.161**		-0.136*		-0.151*	
(0.076)		(0.071)		(0.081)	
0.098		0.112		0.121	
(0.244)		(0.247)		(0.249)	
0.032		0.038		0.045	
(0.082)		(0.087)		(0.090)	
-0.067		-0.074		-0.079	
(0.096)		(0.097)		(0.099)	
-2.29**	-1.75*	-2.22**	-1.72*	-2.22**	-1.81*
-1.82*	-1.70*	-1.97*	-1.99**	-1.88*	-1.95*
0.045	0.261	0.077	0.371	0.061	0.369
396	396	396	396	396	396
	BLS Manu- facturing Price Deflator 0.639*** (0.137) 2.714*** (0.538) 1.884*** (0.561) -2.413*** (0.563) 4.708*** (1.577) -0.161** (0.076) 0.098 (0.244) 0.032 (0.082) -0.067 (0.096) -2.29** -1.82* 0.045 396	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Exports d BLS BLS Hong Manu- Manu- Kong facturing facturing Export Price Price Price Deflator Deflator Deflator 0.639*** 0.610*** 0.612*** (0.137) (0.217) (0.135) 2.714*** 3.079*** 2.750*** (0.538) (0.635) (0.544) 1.884*** 1.391*** 1.806*** (0.561) (0.487) (0.553) -2.413*** -1.781*** -2.325*** (0.563) (0.481) (0.545) 4.708*** 3.132** 4.384*** (1.577) (1.307) (1.521) -0.161** -0.136* (0.071) 0.098 0.112 (0.247) 0.032 0.038 (0.087) -0.067 -0.074 (0.096) -1.82* -1.70* -1.97* 0.045 0.261 0.077 396 3	BLSBLSHongHongManu-Manu-KongExportPricePricePricePriceDeflatorDeflatorDeflatorDeflator0.639***0.610***0.612***0.572***(0.137)(0.217)(0.135)(0.206)2.714***3.079***2.750***3.084***(0.538)(0.635)(0.544)(0.630)1.884***1.391***1.806***1.401***(0.561)(0.487)(0.553)(0.489)-2.413***-1.781***-2.325***-1.774***(0.563)(0.481)(0.545)(0.454)4.708***3.132**4.384***3.274**(1.577)(1.307)(1.521)(1.348)-0.161**-0.136*(0.071)0.0980.112(0.247)0.0320.038(0.087)-0.067-0.074(0.097)-2.29**-1.75*-2.22**-1.72*-1.82*-1.70*-1.97*-1.99**0.0450.2610.0770.371396396396396	BLS BLS Hong Hong U.S. Manu- Manu- Kong CPI facturing facturing Export Export Export Price Price Price Price Ocf17*** (0.137) (0.217) (0.135) (0.206) (0.131) 2.714*** 3.079*** 2.750*** 3.084*** 2.669*** (0.538) (0.635) (0.544) (0.630) (0.543) 1.884*** 1.391*** 1.806*** 1.401*** 1.550*** (0.561) (0.487) (0.553) (0.489) (0.532) 4.708*** 3.132** 4.384*** 3.274** 4.735*** (1.577) (1.307) (1.521) (1.348) (1.565) -0.161** -0.136* -0.151* 0.0249) 0.0211* (0.076) (0.071) (0.249) 0.045 0.045 0.032 0.038 0.045 0.045 0.045 (0.082) (0.087) (0.099)

Table 6 GMM estimates of export demand equations, China's processed exports to 33 countries over the 1992-2005 period

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

1. The estimated model is the autoregressive and distributed lag model of order 2. Each column reports onestep system GMM estimates **assuming that all the variables including both the RER variables are exogenous.** The corresponding two-step system GMM estimates are largely similar and hence not reported. Parameter estimates of the distributed lag terms are omitted for brevity.

2. Asymptotic standard errors robust to general cross-section and time series heteroscedasticity are reported in parentheses.

3. m1 and m2 are tests for the first-order and second-order serial correlation in the first-differenced residuals, asymptotically distributed as N(0, 1) under the null of no serial correlation.

4. Hansen's J-statistic provides a test of the validity of the moment conditions. P-values for these tests are reported.

5. The GMM-style instruments used in all the estimations are:

For the difference equations: ex_{it-2} , ex_{it-3} , \cdots , ex_{i1} , where $t = 4, \cdots, T$.

For the level equations: Δex_{it-1} , where $t = 4, \dots, T$.

	Exports deflated by					
	BLS	BLS	Hong	Hong	U.S.	U.S.
	Manu-	Manu-	Kong	Kong	CPI	CPI
	facturing	facturing	Export	Export		
T. 1 1	Price	Price	Price	Price		
Independent variables	Deflator	Deflator	Deflator	Deflator		
Lagged Exports	0.464***	0.577***	0.446***	0.561***	0.467***	0.576***
	(0.124)	(0.077)	(0.121)	(0.074)	(0.126)	(0.065)
Real GDP	2.097***	2.306***	2.101***	2.358***	2.071***	2.320***
	(0.679)	(0.469)	(0.680)	(0.475)	(0.661)	(0.465)
Bilateral RER	0.997**	0.931***	0.891*	0.976***	0.531	0.680**
	(0.488)	(0.293)	(0.497)	(0.297)	(0.451)	(0.282)
Weighted RER	-1.594***	-1.558***	-1.474***	-1.591***	-1.115**	-1.287***
	(0.460)	(0.315)	(0.470)	(0.318)	(0.419)	(0.307)
Capital Stock	2.437**	1.218*	2.002*	1.297*	1.851***	1.577**
	(0.892)	(0.659)	(1.054)	(0.646)	(0.560)	(0.638)
Time	-0.080		-0.035		-0.016	
	(0.076)		(0.081)		(0.036)	
Common Language	0.186		0.199		0.186	
	(0.343)		(0.341)		(0.335)	
Contiguous Countries	0.082		0.092		0.090	
	(0.165)		(0.165)		(0.163)	
Distance	-0.075		-0.082		-0.076	
	(0.157)		(0.156)		(0.154)	
ml	-1.58	-1.29	-1.58	-1.29	-1.65*	-1.36
m2	-0.14	0.11	-0.26	0.03	-0.19	0.10
Hansen J-statistic	0.321	0.096	0.169	0.06	0.012	0.021
No. of Observations	396	396	396	396	396	396
	*	*** <u>p<0.01</u> , **	[*] p<0.05, * p<0	0.1		

Table 7 GMM estimates of export demand equations, China's ordinary exports to 33 countries over the 1992-2005 period

1. The estimated model is the autoregressive and distributed lag model of order 2. Each column reports onestep system GMM estimates **assuming that all the variables including both the RER variables are exogenous.** The corresponding two-step system GMM estimates are largely similar and hence not reported. Parameter estimates of the distributed lag terms are omitted for brevity.

2. Asymptotic standard errors robust to general cross-section and time series heteroscedasticity are reported in parentheses.

3. m1 and m2 are tests for the first-order and second-order serial correlation in the first-differenced residuals, asymptotically distributed as N(0, 1) under the null of no serial correlation.

4. Hansen's J-statistic provides a test of the validity of the moment conditions. P-values for these tests are reported.

5. The GMM-style instruments used in all the estimations are:

For the difference equations: ex_{it-2} , ex_{it-3} , \cdots , ex_{i1} , where t = 4, \cdots , T.

For the level equations: Δex_{it-1} , where $t = 4, \dots, T$.

Appendix: Time Series Properties of the Data

We conduct panel unit root tests to determine whether the four principal variables $(ex_{it}, rer_{it}, wrer_{it}, and gdp_{it})$ have deterministic time trends or unit autoregressive roots. Tests of the null hypothesis of a unit root depend on whether or not deterministic elements (a constant term or a time trend or both) are included in the estimated regression and on whether or not the random walk that describes the true process includes a drift term. Since there is no specific null hypothesis about the process generating the data series, we employ a general specification that can plausibly describe the data under both the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis. Following Levin, Lin, and Chu (2002), we formulate a univariate dynamic panel data model:

$$\Delta y_{it} = \alpha'_{i} d_{it} + \beta_{i} y_{it-1} + \sum_{L=1}^{P_{i}} \theta_{iL} \Delta y_{it-L} + e_{it}$$
(A1)

Here d_{it} is the vector of deterministic variables and α_i is the corresponding vector of coefficients. The null hypothesis is that each cross-sectional time series contains a unit root and the alternative hypothesis is that each time series is stationary. The null hypothesis can thus be written as $H_0: \beta_i = \beta = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and the homogenous alternative as $H_1: \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_i = \beta < 0, \forall i$.

Levin *et al.* suggest a three-step procedure for implementing the panel unit root tests. First, two auxiliary regressions are carried out to generate orthogonalized residuals. Second, the ratios of long run to short run innovation standard deviations $(s_i = \sigma_{yi} / \sigma_{\epsilon i})$ for each cross-sectional unit are estimated. The estimate of the average standard deviation ratio $(\hat{S}_N = (1/N) \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{s}_i)$ is then used to adjust the mean of the *t*-statistic in the final step. In this final step, all cross-sectional and time series observations are pooled to estimate: $\tilde{e}_{it} = \delta \tilde{v}_{it-1} + \tilde{\epsilon}_{it}$, where \tilde{e}_{it} and \tilde{v}_{it-1} are the normalized residuals estimated in step 1. The conventional t_{δ} statistic from the above estimation is then adjusted to derive adjusted t_{δ}^* statistics, which follow standard normal distributions. Breitung and Pesaran (2005) argue that Levin-Lin-Chu panel unit root tests have the smallest size distortions and also perform best against the homogenous alternative and also when the time dimension is small.

Table A1 presents the results of the Levin-Lin-Chu tests. The results indicate that real exports and real GDP are trend stationary series following first-order autoregressive error processes while both the RMB real exchange rate and the weighted real exchange rate are I(0) stationary processes. Thus the general finding is that the dependent variable (real exports) is trend stationary, and the set of regressors includes one trend stationary series (real GDP) and two stationary series (RMB RER and Weighted RER).

Test Statistic	t_{δ} test	t_{s}^{*} test	Specifications for
	U	0	deterministic and/or
			autoregressive order in the
Variables			error process
Ordinary real exports (exl_{it})	-18.156***	-11.336***	Constant and trend; AR(1)
Processed real exports $(ex2_{it})$	-23.480***	-16.065***	Constant and trend; AR(1)
Real GDP (gdp_{it})	-12.990***	-6.897***	Constant and trend; AR(1)
RMB RER (rer _{it})	-7.797***	-2.749***	Constant; AR(4)
Weighted RER (wrer _{it})	-7.753***	-2.694***	Constant; AR(2)

Table A1Panel Unit Root Tests: Levin-Lin-Chu ADF test statistics

'*', '**' and '***' denote 10%, 5% and 1% statistical significance, respectively.

Notes: The data series $ex1_{it}$ and $ex2_{it}$ represent China's bilateral ordinary and processed exports respectively to country i. gdp_{it} represents real gross domestic product in the importing country. rer_{it} represents the bilateral real exchange rate of Chinese renminibility vis-à-vis country i. $wrer_{it}$ represents the multilateral weighted real exchange rate between countries providing imports for processing to China and country i that purchases the processed exports from China.

Since our empirical model contains lagged values of the dependent variable, covariance estimators are inconsistent (see Nickel, 1981). Anderson and Hsiao (1981) show that the maximum likelihood estimators are also highly sensitive to alternative assumptions about the initial conditions or the way the time series dimension or the cross-sectional dimension tend to infinity. Several researchers (e.g., Holtz-Eakin, Newey and Rosen, 1988; Arellano and Bond, 1991; Arellano and Bover, 1995 and Blundell and Bond, 1998) have proposed generalized method of moments (GMM) estimators to correct for the resulting bias. However the GMM first-difference estimators recommended by Arellano and Bond (1991) are weakly identified when the instruments have low correlations with the included endogenous variables. Blundell and Bond (1998) show that GMM first-difference estimators have a serious downward biased if the autoregressive parameter approaches unity and the relative variance of the fixed effects $(\sigma_n^2 / \sigma_y^2)$ increases to infinity. In this case, Blundell and Bond propose lagged differences as an additional set of instruments for equations in levels and show that the resulting GMM system estimators are more consistent and efficient. Since we employ an autoregressive distributed lag model we investigate whether the individual DGPs are highly persistent.

Persistency in the Individual DGPs

In order to assess the persistency of the individual variables, we estimate the univariate autoregressive model⁹

$$\Delta y_{it} = \alpha'_{i} d_{it} + \beta_{i} y_{it-1} + \sum_{L=1}^{P_{i}} \theta_{iL} \Delta y_{it-L} + e_{it} .$$
 (A2)

Here d_{it} is a vector of deterministic variables (e.g., intercept or time trend) and α_i is the corresponding vector of coefficients. Thus for the model without intercepts and trends, $d_{it} = \phi$ (the empty set); for the model with intercepts, $d_{it} = \{1\}$; and for the model with both intercepts and individual specific time trends, $d_{it} = \{1, t\}$. Here α_{1i} is assumed to represent cross-section specific intercepts capturing the unobserved fixed effect parameter η_i and e_{it} is assumed to have finite moments and in particular $E(e_{it}) = E(e_{is}e_{it}) = 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $\forall s \neq t$. For the real export and real GDP series, we estimate the model including both the deterministic variables (i.e., both the cross-section specific intercepts and trend term). For the two exchange rate variables we estimate the same autoregressive specification but without the trend element. The choice of appropriate autoregressive order and deterministic terms is based on the Levin-Lin-Chu unit root results presented above.

In addition to the OLS and within estimates, we employ both GMM first-difference and GMM system estimators. OLS and within estimates of the autoregressive parameter in a dynamic panel data model are biased upwards and downwards respectively. In other words, the true parameter will tend to lie between the OLS and the within estimates. GMM system estimators provide better estimates of the true parameter. GMM first-difference estimators are based on m = (T-2)(T-1)/2 linear moment conditions that are defined as $E(y_{it-s}\Delta e_{it}) = 0$ for $t = 3, \dots, T$ and $s \ge 2$. By contrast, GMM system estimators are based on an extended set of moment conditions, which additionally includes another (t-2) linear moment conditions that are defined as $E(e_{it}\Delta y_{it-1}) = 0$ for $t = 3, \dots, T$.

⁹ The form is the Sims, Stock and Watson (1990) canonical form for higher order autoregressive processes, originally proposed by Fuller (1976).

Table A2 presents our results. The evidence indicates that, while ordinary and processed exports are both trend stationary series, they are highly persistent. These results imply that GMM first-difference estimators are likely to be weakly identified and hence inconsistent. The findings instead suggest that our multivariate dynamic panel data model should exploit the extended instrument matrix proposed by Blundell and Bond (1998) and utilize GMM system estimators.

Table A2

Estimester of the	-		······································	1-4-		/		
Estimates of the	autoregressive i	narameter ot	maivialia	α στα σ	reneration i	nracesses i		
Louinates of the	autoregressive	Julumeter or	marviauai	uuiu A	cinciation		DUIS	1
	0							

Name of the DGPs	OLS	GMM-Sys	Within	GMM-Diff
Ordinary real exports $(ex1_{it})$	0.978***	0.928***	0.719***	0.811***
	(0.013)	(0.043)	(0.063)	(0.091)
Processed real exports $(ex2_{it})$	0.979***	0.912***	0.754***	0.360***
	(0.009)	(0.027)	(0.060)	(0.097)
Real GDP (gdp_{it})	0.997***	0.974***	0.771***	0.521***
	(0.001)	(0.008)	(0.043)	(0.157)
RMB RER (rer _{it})	0.999***	0.786***	0.282***	-0.070
	(0.018)	(0.081)	(0.094)	(0.132)
Weighted RER (wrer _{it})	1.003***	0.699***	0.653***	0.356*
	(0.016)	(0.111)	(0.092)	(0.184)
Not	$as \cdot *** n < 0.01$	** n<0.05 * n<	0.1	

Notes: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Notes: The data series $ex1_{it}$ and $ex2_{it}$ represent China's bilateral ordinary and processed exports respectively to country i. gdp_{it} represents real gross domestic product in the importing country. rer_{it} represents the bilateral real exchange rate of Chinese renminibility vis-à-vis country i. $wrer_{it}$ represents the multilateral weighted real exchange rate between countries providing imports for processing to China and country i that purchases the processed exports from China.

Moment Conditions and the Instrument Matrix

In Tables 2-5 we assume that rer and wrer are predetermined and that the other right hand side variables are exogenous. Let $\mathbf{x}_{1,it}$ represent the predetermined variables and $\mathbf{x}_{2,it}$ represent the exogenous variables. Here $\mathbf{x}'_{1,it} = (rer_{it} \text{ wrer}_{it})$ and $\mathbf{x}'_{2,it} = (gdp_{it} K_{it} \mathbf{z}'_{i})$. The variables are described in the text. The moment conditions for the autoregressive and distributed lag (ADL) model of order 2 are defined as follows:

i. For the difference equations: $E(y_{it-s}\Delta u_{it})=0$, $E(\mathbf{x}'_{1,it-s+1}\Delta u_{it})=0$ and $E(\Delta x'_{2,i}\Delta u_{it})=0$ for $t=4,\cdots,T$ and $s \ge 2$, where $\mathbf{x}'_{2,i} = (\mathbf{x}'_{2,i4}\cdots\mathbf{x}'_{2,iT})$ and

ii. For the level equations: $E(\Delta y_{it-1}u_{it})=0$, $E(\Delta x'_{1,it}u_{it})=0$, and $E(x'_{2,it}u_{it})=0$ for $t=4,\cdots,T$.

The instrument matrix that arises from the condition (i) is compactly written as $Z_i = \text{diag}(y_{i1} \ y_{i2} \cdots y_{is} \mathbf{x}'_{1,i1} \cdots \mathbf{x}'_{1,is+1} : \Delta \mathbf{x}'_{2,i})$ for $t = 4, \dots, T$ and $s \ge 2$.

where $\Delta \mathbf{x}'_{2,i} = (\Delta \mathbf{x}'_{2,i4} \cdots \Delta \mathbf{x}'_{2,iT})$. This instrument matrix is used to obtain Arellano and Bond GMM first difference estimators. By contrast, combining both the moment conditions (i) and (ii) results in an extended instrument matrix as suggested by Blundell and Bond (1998). The extended instrument matrix is shown below:

$$Z_{i}^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{i} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta y_{i3} & \Delta \mathbf{x}_{1,i4}' & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \mathbf{x}_{2,i4}' \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta y_{i4} & \Delta \mathbf{x}_{1,i5}' & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{x}_{2,i5}' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \Delta y_{i,T-1} & \Delta \mathbf{x}_{1,iT}' & \mathbf{x}_{2,iT}' \end{bmatrix}$$

The subscript 3 refers to 1994, the subscript 4 to 1995, and the subscript T to 2005. See footnote 5 to Tables 2-5 for the specific details as to the GMM-style instruments that are used to derive the system GMM estimates in the respective tables.

In Tables 6 and 7 of the main text we assume that all of the right hand side variables are exogenous. In this case we can represent these variables by the vector \mathbf{x}_{it} . Again the estimated model is an autoregressive and distributed lag model of order 2. The moment conditions are defined as follows:

i. For the difference equations: $E(y_{it-s}\Delta u_{it}) = 0$ and $E(\Delta x'_{it}\Delta u_{it}) = 0$ for $t = 4, \dots, T$ and $s \ge 2$, and

ii. For the level equations: $E(\Delta y_{it-1}u_{it}) = 0$ and $E(x'_{it}u_{it}) = 0$ for $t = 4, \dots, T$.

The instrument matrix that arises from condition (i) can be written as:

 $Z_{i} = \text{diag}(y_{i1} \ y_{i2} \cdots y_{is} : \Delta \mathbf{x}'_{i}) \text{ for } t = 4, \cdots, T \text{ and } s \ge 2, \text{ where } \Delta \mathbf{x}'_{i} = (\Delta \mathbf{x}'_{i4} \cdots \Delta \mathbf{x}'_{iT}).$

Adding condition (ii) results in the extended instrument matrix as suggested by Blundell and Bond (1998):

	Z_i	0	0	•••	0	÷ 0
	0	Δy_{i3}	0		0	$\mathbf{\dot{x}}_{i4}$
$Z_{i}^{+} =$	0	0	Δy_{i4}	•••	0	x ' _{i5}
	÷	:	:	·.	:	::
	0	0	0		$\Delta y_{i,T-1}$	1 . x ′ _{iT}

Again the subscript 3 in our model refers to 1994, the subscript 4 to 1995, and the subscript T to 2005. See footnote 5 to Tables 6-7 for the specific details as to the GMM-style instruments that are used to derive the system GMM estimates in the respective tables.