Comments of "Natural Disasters, Damage to Banks, and Firm Investment" by Hosono, Miyakawa, Uchino, Hazama, Ono, Uchida, and Uesugi

Michio Suzuki

November 30, 2012

HIT-TDB-RIETI Int'I WS on the Economics of Interfirm Networks

Michio Suzuki

Comments of Natural Disasters, Damage to Banks, and Firm Investment

通い イヨン イヨン 二日

# Summary of the Paper

#### **Question This Paper Asks**

Do changes in bank lending capacity affect corporate investment?

#### To Identify Causal Effect

Exploit exogenous variation in bank lending capacity caused by Great Hanshin-Awaji earthquake

## Great Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake

- January 17, 1995.
- Hyogo (Kobe, Nishinomiya, Ashiya, etc), Osaka (Toyonaka)
  - Japanese Government's Act Concerning Special Financial Support to Deal with a Designated Disaster of Extreme Severity
- Casualties & damages to housing units in the region (Table 1)
  - # of deaths: 6405, Death rate: 0.17%
  - Rate of housing units completely/partly destroyed: 38.73%

Michio Suzuki

# Measures of Damages to Firms and Banks

### Damage to Firms

- Firms located in the earthquake-affected area
- F\_DAMAGED: dummy for damaged firms

#### Two Measures of Damage to Bank Lending Capacity

- 1. Headquarters damage (*B\_HQDAMAGED*)
  - ▶ 1 if bank's HQ located in earthquake-affected area.
- 2. Branch damage (*B\_BRDAMAGED*)
  - share of bank's branches located in affected area.
- Note: measurement error may put downward bias.

# Unique Firm-Bank Dataset

### Basic Survey of Business Structure and Activities (BSBSA)

Firm's balance sheet information

### Nikkei NEEDS Financial Quest

Bank's balance sheet information

### Teikoku Databank LTD. (TDB)

- Firm-level data
- List of banks with which each firm transacts
- Main bank: bank listed at top of the list

Michio Suzuki

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ □ ● ● ● ●

Empirical Model of Corporate Investment

$$\frac{I_{it}}{K_{it-1}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 F\_SALESGROWTH_{it-1} + \beta_2 F\_DAMAGED_i 
+ \beta_3 B\_DAMAGED_i + \beta_4 F\_DAMAGED_i * B\_DAMAGED_i 
+ \beta_5 F\_CONSTRAINTS_{it-1} + \beta_6 B\_CAPACITY_{it-1} 
+ \beta_7 Industry_i + \epsilon_{it}$$

- $\beta_3$ : effect of bank damage on investment of undamaged firms.
- ► *F\_CONSTRAINTS*<sub>it-1</sub>: firm's balance sheet variables
  - Main determinants of financing costs
- ► *B\_CAPACITY*<sub>*it*-1</sub>: bank's balance sheet variables

Michio Suzuki

Results (Table 8): Effects of Headquarter Damage

 $\frac{I_{it}}{K_{it-1}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 F\_SALESGROWTH_{it-1} + \beta_2 F\_DAMAGED_i$  $+ \beta_3 B\_HQDAMAGED_i + \beta_4 F\_DAMAGED_i * B\_HQDAMAGED_i$  $+ \beta_5 F\_CONSTRAINTS_{it-1} + \beta_6 B\_CAPACITY_{it-1}$  $+ \beta_7 Industry_i + \epsilon_{it}$ 

- $\beta_3$  is **negative** (-0.081 (0.023)) in FY1995.
  - Not in FY1996, FY1997.
- $\beta_3 + \beta_4$  is **positive** (0.276) in FY1995
  - (Weak) evidence for loan shifting to damaged firms
  - Not significant in FY1996, FY1997

Michio Suzuki

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Results (Table 8): Effects of Branch Damage

 $\frac{I_{it}}{K_{it-1}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 F\_SALESGROWTH_{it-1} + \beta_2 F\_DAMAGED_i$  $+ \beta_3 B\_BRDAMAGED_i + \beta_4 F\_DAMAGED_i * B\_BRDAMAGED_i$  $+ \beta_5 F\_CONSTRAINTS_{it-1} + \beta_6 B\_CAPACITY_{it-1}$  $+ \beta_7 Industry_i + \epsilon_{it}$ 

- $\beta_3$  is **negative** (-0.127 (0.059)) in FY1996.
  - Not significant in FY1995, 1997.
- $\beta_4$  is not significantly different from zero.

Michio Suzuki

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Results (Table 8): Effects of Other Variables

 $\frac{I_{it}}{K_{it-1}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 F\_SALESGROWTH_{it-1} + \beta_2 F\_DAMAGED_i + \beta_3 B\_DAMAGED_i + \beta_4 F\_DAMAGED_i * B\_DAMAGED_i$ 

 $+\beta_5 F\_CONSTRAINTS_{it-1} + \beta_6 B\_CAPACITY_{it-1}$ 

 $+\beta_7 Industry_i + \epsilon_{it}$ 

- Coef. on sales growth  $(\beta_1)$ : positive as expected.
- Coef. on damage to the firm  $(\beta_2)$ : positive as expected.
- ► Coef. on leverage, profitability, liquidity have expected signs.
- Bank's balance sheet variables do not have significant effect.

Michio Suzuki

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ < ①</p>

# Comment 1: B\_HQDAMAGED Picks Up Small Banks

Damage-to-headquarter dummy picks up only small banks

Regional bank 2, shinkin banks, credit cooperatives

Assortative matching in firm-bank relationship?

- If observables do not fully account for matching pattern, there may be bias.
- Measurement error in observed data.

Small banks may charge higher interest rate?

Robustness checks 1 and 2 in the paper are important.

- Robustness check 1: Bank size
- Robustness check 2: Firm fixed effect

Michio Suzuki

Robustness Check 1: Accounting for Bank Size

### Small Bank Dummy

 $\blacktriangleright$  1 if main bank is either Shinkin bank or credit cooperative

Assumed: damage to regional banks has no impact

### Why?

Why not using full set of dummies?

 $\beta_{2}F_{DAMAGED_{i}} + \beta_{3}B_{DAMAGED_{i}} + \beta_{4}F_{DAMAGED_{i}} *$   $B_{DAMAGED_{i}} + \beta_{5}SMALL_{i} + \beta_{6}F_{DAMAGED_{i}} * SMALL_{i} +$   $\beta_{7}B_{DAMAGED_{i}} * SMALL_{i} + \beta_{8}F_{DAMAGED_{i}} *$  $B_{DAMAGED_{i}} * SMALL_{i}$ 

Michio Suzuki

## Robustness Check 2: Accounting for Firm Fixed Effects

First difference of investment rates but not of regressors

- Because BSBSA data for FY1993 are not available.
- BSBSA data for FY1991 are available.
  - Use this data to construct first differences?
- Taking difference between 1995 and 1996 (or 1997)?
  - Year-specific effect of 'damage' (& industry) dummies
  - Possible to use level in FY1991 as IV
- Any data available in TDB dataset?
  - ▶ Footnote 19 says sales in FY1993 available in TDB data.
  - What about other regressors?

Michio Suzuki

## Comment 2: Shocks to Undamaged Firms

Earthquake  $\Rightarrow$  Disruptions through supply linkages

- Shocks to firms outside of affected area
- ▶ e.g. # of direct vs indirect bankruptcies (1995): 78 vs 66
  - ▶ 62% of indirect bankruptcies in Kinki area
  - Source: Tokyo Shoko Research
- Error term  $\epsilon_{it}$  may contain this shock

## Comment 2 Cont'd

Error term  $\epsilon_{it}$  may be correlated with *B\_DAMAGED*?

If proximity matters in business,

Firms w/ damaged banks more likely linked w damaged firms?

### Any data in TDB to control for this (network) effect?

# Comment 3: Alternative Measure of Bank Damage

### Question:

- Did banks with large outstanding loans to damaged firms restrict lending to undamaged firms?
  - If damaged firms failed to recover, bank's capital would fall.
  - Loan shifting to damaged firms
- If so, how much did it affect firm's investment?
- Maybe possible to examine

effect of this 'bank damage' on corporate investment, too?

## Comment 3 Cont'd

#### Another B\_DAMAGED Variable

Dummy for banks lending 'large' amount to damaged firms

#### **Development Bank of Japan Corporate Finance Data**

- Outstanding loans by bank available for each listed firm
- Use the data to identify 'damaged' banks?
- Need location of each firm...

Michio Suzuki

## Other Comments

- Stock returns as a proxy for bank's financial health? (Peek and Rosengren (2005), Amiti and Weinstein (2011))
- 2. More detailed industry dummies?
- 3. Data period in BSBSA
  - Until 2007, BSBSA survey conducted on June 1st.
  - Year *t* survey collets following data.
    - Firms w/ fiscal year ending before June: data for FY t-1
    - Firms w/ fiscal year ending after June: data for FY t 2.

Michio Suzuki