How does the Regional Monetary Unit work as a surveillance tool?

Kentaro Kawasaki Toyo University, Tokyo Japan



- 1. Background
- 2. The role of Regional Monetary Unit: RMU
- 3. Advantages of Employing RMU Deviation Indicators in Macroeconomic Surveillance
- 4. Conclusions

Backgrounds

- * Needs for Exchange rates stability in East Asia
 - * Further economic growth in the region
 - A threat of 'beggar-thy-neighbor' policy: competitive devaluation
 - * Einchengreen and Sachs (1985): individual devaluation vs. coordinated international devaluation
 - * A country who adopt rigid exchange rate regime may impose trade restrictions.

ASEAN+3 Finance Ministers agree to move Cooperation at Higher Level in response to the global financial crisis

- * The multilateral currency swap facility the USD 120 million Chiang Mai Initiative Multilateralisation (CMIM) – came into effect in 2010.
- * The ASEAN+3 Macroeconomic Research Office (AMRO)
 - * was established in Singapore,
 - * plays an important role in securing the region's stability, and
- * conducts comprehensive surveillance of regional RIETI-CASS-CESSA joint workshop Beiling

Backgrounds (cont.1)

- Needs for Exchange rates monitoring among CMIM members' currency <u>as a conditionality of liquidity support</u> by CMIM,
 - * To capture possibilities of future crisis and its potential risk
 - To analyze the determinants of regional economic trend and policy action
- * Exchange rates monitoring contributes to
 - Assuring the soundness of financial system, banking sector, or capital flows, and
 - * Creating Asian regionalisms

Backgrounds (cont.2)

- * AMRO is now playing an important role of
 - in securing the region's stability through the conduct of comprehensive surveillance of regional economies to support decision-making process for CMIM.
- * How could the AMRO monitor the members' exchange rate monitoring?
 - * Nominal exchange rates: USD, EUR, or JPY
 - * Effective exchange rates: NEER, REER, or ULC-REER, or
 - * Basket currencies: ACU, AMU

Motivations

- * Bilateral vs. Multilateral Real EXR
 - Whether dose there exist significant differences in the movement of the real Regional Monetary Unit Deviation Indicator (RMU DI) and the bilateral real exchange rate?

Motivations

- Improving the performance of monitoring exchange rate in employing the regional monetary unit such as AMU
 - * AMU DI: ad hoc assumption in choosing benchmark year
 - Concerning economic growth, inter-relations, and meanreversions toward equilibrium exchange rates
 - Introducing the concept of "OCA" as an equilibrium : Kawasaki (2012a), Kawasaki and Ogawa (2006), & Ogawa and Kawasaki (2008)
- * How would the inter-relations among member's currencies dominate the exchange rates movements?

http://www.rieti.go.jp/users/amu/cmi.html



RIETI-CASS-CESSA joint workshop Beijing

10/28/2012

Contributions

- Decompose of Exchange rates movements;
 - Employing the Permanent-Transitory decomposition (P-T decomposition) proposed by Gonzalo and Granger (1995)
 - Eliminating the effect from permanent movements and focusing on transitory movements for the short-term monitoring
- Imposing the condition of equilibrium on the exchange rates among member states' exchange rates movements
 - Concept of G-PPP approach: cointegration among exchange rates' movement

3. Advantages of Employing RMU Deviation Indicators in Macroeconomic Surveillance

Examining the properties of fluctuations in the exchange rates

- 1. a stationary convergence process, where the real exchange rates possesses the long-term mean and its reverting process when the exchange rates deviate from it (mean-reversion),
- 2. a stationary divergent process, where the real exchange rates exhibit tendencies to increase deviations (real deviation),
- 3. a non-stationary random walk process, where the changes in the real exchange rates are completely random (that is, the movement is unpredictable).

Non-linear model of the UR test : the Momentum TAR (M-TAR) model by Enders and Granger (1998)

$$\Delta g_{t} = I_{t} \rho_{1} (g_{t-1} - \tau) + (1 - I_{t}) \rho_{2} (g_{t-1} - \tau) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} \Delta g_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{i}$$
$$\rho_{1} < 0, \rho_{2} < 0$$

p

$$I_{t} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } \Delta g_{t-1} \ge 0 & z(+) = I_{t}\rho_{1} \\ 0 \text{ if } \Delta g_{t-1} < 0 & z(-) = (1 - I_{t})\rho_{2} \end{cases}$$

 $H_0: \rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0, H_1:$ no unit root $H_0: \rho_1 = \rho_2, H_1:$ asymmetric adjstment

Examining the properties of fluctuations in the exchange rates

- * The coefficient of zeta-plus: Z(+) is regarded as the appreciation-correcting coefficient:
- The coefficient of zeta-minus: Z(-) is regarded as the depreciation-correcting coefficient
 - * Z(+) = Z(-)<0 : Symmetric convergent</pre>
 - * Z(+) = Z(-)>0 : Symmetric divergent
 - * Z(+) = Z(-)=0 : Symmetric Unit root
 - * $Z(+) \ge 0, Z(0) < 0$ or $Z(+) < 0, Z(-) \ge 0$: Asymmetric convergent
 - * Z(+)>0, Z(0)=0 or Z(+)=0, Z(-)>0: Asymmetric divergent

Examining the properties of fluctuations in the exchange rates

* Data

- * Sample Period: January 3, 2000 December 31, 2009.
- * The data on the exchange rate and the AMU are obtained from *Datastream* and RIETI.
- * The price data is obtained from IMF-IFS as monthly consumer price indices.
- The "Daily" real exchange rates and the real RMU DI are calculated from the daily nominal exchange rates, the AMU, and the monthly CPI which is converted to daily data.

The Empirical results of the M-TAR unit root test for each currency of 'ASEAN plus three' exchange rate vis-à-vis the U.S. dollars

- * Random walk process (unforeseeable: Z(+)=Z(-)=0):
 - China (CNY), Hong Kong (HKD), Indonesia (IDR), Japan (JPY), Korea (KRW), Lao (LAK), Singapore (SGD) and Thailand (THB),
- * Asymmetric stationary process
 - * one-side mean reversion and one-side divergent
 - * Cambodia: Z(+)<0, Z(-)>0
 - * one-side mean reversion
 - * Brunei* : Z(+)<0, Z(-)=0 (*10%)</pre>
 - * Malaysia: Z(+)=0, Z(-)<0</pre>
 - * one-side divergent
 - * Philippines, and Vietnam: Z(+)>0, Z(-)=0
- * Symmetric stationary divergent process
 - * Myanmar: Z(+) = Z(-)>0

The Empirical results of the M-TAR unit root test for each currency of 'ASEAN plus three' exchange rate vis-à-vis the RMU DI

- * Random walk process (unforeseeable: Z(+)=Z(-)=0):
 - Japan (JPY), Lao (LAK), Philippines (PHP), and Thailand (THB),

* Asymmetric stationary process

- * one-side mean reversion and one-side divergent
 - * Cambodia: Z(+)<0, Z(-)>0
 - * Korea: Z(+)<0, Z(-)>0* (10%)
- * one-side mean reversion
 - * Brunei: Z(+)=0, Z(-)<0</p>
 - * China: Z(+)<0, Z(-)=0
- * one-side divergent
 - * Hong Kong*(10%), Indonesia, Myanmar, and Vietnam: Z(+)>0, Z(-)=0

* Symmetric stationary convergent process

* Singapore and Malaysia*(10%): Z(+)=Z(-)<0</pre>

The sequential M-TAR unit root for KRW, SGD, and THB

- * Sequential M-TAR unit root test,
 - * the short-term model: 250 samples
 - * the medium-term model: 500 samples.
- The rolling regressions (from January 3, 2000 + 250/500 samples)
 - * The 1st short-term model: Jan. 3, 2000 Dec. 18, 2000,
 - * The 1st medium-term model: Jan. 3, 2000 Dec. 3, 2001,
 - * The final short-term model: Dec. 25, 2008 Dec. 10, 2009,
 - * The final medium-term model: Jan. 10, 2008 Dec. 10, 2009.



Figure 1a: M-TAR Unit Root Test for Indices of the SGD Real Exchange Rate vis-à-vis the USD

Coefficieints



2000:01:03 2000:10:09 2001:07:16 2002:04:22 2003:01:27 2003:11:03 2004:08:09 2005:05:16 2006:02:20 2006:11:27 2007:09:03 2008:06:09 2009:03:16

20



Figure 2a: M-TAR Unit Root Test for Indices of the KRW Real Exchange Rate vis-à-vis the USD

RIETI-CASS-CESSA joint workshop Beijing

Coefficients



Figure 2b: M-TAR Unit Root Test for Real RMU DI of the Korean Won



Figure 3a: M-TAR Unit Root Test for Indices of the THB Real Exchange Rate vis-à-vis the USD



Figure 3b: M-TAR Unit Root Test for the Real RMU DI of the Thai Baht

Summary of Empirical Results

- the magnitudes of convergence speeds in the real RMU DI deviation are larger than those of the bilateral real exchange rates vis-à-vis the USD,
- the unit root test for the RMU DI is able to detect the possibility of exchange rates' deviation earlier than the test for the bilateral real exchange rates,
- 3. the time series property of the exchange rate movement changes time to time, and
- the unit root test for the RMU DI has the ability to capture the possibility of structural switches more clearly than the test for the bilateral rates.

4. Decompose of Exchange rates movements

Empirics: Definition of RMU

* Definition of RMU, (us\$/AMU)

$$NEX_{US\$/RMU} = \prod_{i=1}^{14} \omega_i \cdot NEX_{US\$/i}$$
 where $\sum_{i=1}^{13} \omega_i = 1$

* Logarithm of real exchange rates,

$$rex_{RMU/j,t} = nex_{RMU/j,t} + p_{j,t} - p_{RMU,t}$$

= $nex_{US\$/RMU,t} - nex_{US\$/j,t} + p_{j,t} - \sum_{i=1}^{13} \omega_i \cdot p_{i,t}$
= $\sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{13} \omega_i \left(nex_{US\$/i,t} - p_{i,t} \right) - \left(nex_{US\$/j,t} - p_{j,t} \right)$
= $\sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{13} \omega_i \cdot x_{i,t} - x_{j,t}$ 27 where $p_{RMU,t} = \sum_{i=1}^{13} \omega_i \cdot p_{i,t}$ 10/28/2012

Empirics: Definition of RMU

Matrices form

$$rex_{RMU/j,t} = W' \cdot X_t$$
$$W' = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1, \dots, -1, \dots, \omega_{13} \end{bmatrix} \quad X'_t = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,t}, \dots, x_{j,t}, \dots, x_{13,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

Concerning Equilibrium of G-PPP

 Partitioning into two groups: dominate currencies + dominated currencies

$$\operatorname{rex}_{RMU/j,t} = W_1' \cdot X_{1,t} + W_2' \cdot X_{2,t}$$

Cointegrated Not cointegrated

$$W_1' = \left[\omega_1, \ldots, -1, \ldots, \omega_m\right], W_2' = \left[\omega_{m+1}, \ldots, \omega_{13}\right]$$

$$X'_{1,t} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,t}, \dots, x_{j,t}, \dots, x_{m,t} \end{bmatrix}, X'_{2,t} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{m+1,t}, \dots, x_{13,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

Dominate currencies Dominated currencies

RIETI-CASS-CESSA joint workshop Beijing

10/28/2012

P-T Decomposition by Gonzalo and Granger (1995)

* Decompose the permanent and transitory components $P_{P_{1}} = P_{P_{2}} = T_{P_{2}}$

$$X_{1,t} = X_t^P + X_t^T$$

1) Vector is X_t^P difference stationary and Vector X_t^P s covariance stationary,

2)
$$\operatorname{var}(\Delta X_t^P) > 0$$
 and $\operatorname{var}(X_t^T) > 0$, and

3) innovations: $u_{P,t}$ and $u_{T,t}$ for the autoregressive representation of; $(\Delta X_t^P, X_t^T)$, $\begin{bmatrix} H_{11}(L) & H_{12}(L) \\ H_{21}(L) & H_{22}(L) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X_t^P \\ X_t^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{P,t} \\ u_{T,t} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{\partial E(X_{1,t+h})}{\partial u_{P,t}} \neq 0 \qquad \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{\partial E(X_{1,t+h})}{\partial u_{T,t}} = 0 \qquad H_{12}(1) = 0$$

P-T Decomposition by Gonzalo and Granger (1995)

* VECM and MA representation;

$$\Delta X_{1,t} = \underset{m \times 1}{\alpha} \cdot \underset{1 \times m}{\beta'} X_{1,t-1} + \underset{i=1}{\overset{p}{\sum}} \Gamma_i \Delta X_{1,t-i} + \Phi D_t + \mathcal{E}_t$$
$$X_{1,t} = C \underset{i=1}{\overset{t}{\sum}} \mathcal{E}_i + C \cdot \Phi D_t + C (L) (\mathcal{E}_t + \Phi D_t)$$
$$Where = \beta_{\perp} \left(\alpha'_{\perp} \left(I - \underset{i=1}{\overset{p-1}{\sum}} \Gamma_i \right) \beta_{\perp} \right)^{-1} \alpha'_{\perp}$$

* P-T decomposition of

$$X_{1,t} = A_1 \alpha'_{\perp} X_{1,t} + A_2 \beta' X_{1,t}$$

RIETI-CASS-CESSA joint workshop Beijing Where $A_1 = \beta_{\perp} \left(\alpha'_{\perp} \beta_{\perp} \right)^{-1}$ and $A_2 = \alpha \left(\beta' \alpha \right)^{-1}$

10/28/2012

Definition of Deviation Indicators

* Benchmark rates;

$$\overline{rex}_{RMU/j} = W' \cdot \overline{X} = W_1' \cdot \overline{X}_1 + W_2' \cdot \overline{X}_2$$
$$X_{1,t}' = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,t}, \dots, x_{j,t}, \dots, x_{m,t} \end{bmatrix}, X_{2,t}' = \begin{bmatrix} x_{m+1,t}, \dots, x_{13,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

* Deviation from benchmark rates

$$DI_{i,t} = rex_{RMU/i,t} - \overline{rex}_{RMU/i}$$

= $W_1' \cdot \left(X_{1,t} - \overline{X}_1 \right) + W_2' \cdot \left(X_{2,t} - \overline{X}_2 \right)$
= $W_1' \cdot \left(X_t^P + X_t^T - \overline{X}_1 \right) + W_2' \cdot \left(X_{2,t} - \overline{X}_2 \right)$

Current misalignment and its deviation indicator

* Current misalignment for dominate currencies

$$cm_{j,t} = rex_{RMU/j} - rex_{RMU/j,t}^{XP} = W_1' \cdot X_{1,t} - W_1' \cdot X_t^P$$
$$= W_1' \cdot \left(X_{1,t} - X_t^P\right)$$
$$= W_1' \cdot X_t^T$$

* its deviation indicator for dominate currencies

$$CDI_{i,t} = W_1' \cdot \left(X_t^T - \overline{X}^T\right)$$

Temporal deviation indicator for temporal misalignments

 Temporal deviation indicator for temporal misalignments of **dominated currencies**

$$TDI_{i,t} = W_{1}' \cdot \left(\overline{X}^{P} + X_{t}^{T} - \overline{X}_{1} \right) + W_{2}' \cdot \left(X_{2,t} - \overline{X}_{2} \right)$$



- Monthly exchange rates of 14 CMIM member's currencies vis-à-vis the USD and CPI from IMF-IFS
 - * BND, KHR, CNY, HKD, IDR, JPY, KRW, LAK, MYR, MMK, PHP, SGD, THB, and VND

* Sample covers 2000:1-2011:12

- Dominate currencies' groups
 - * ASEAN5+ JPY, ASEAN5+CNY+JPY, ASEAN5+KRW+JPY, and ASEAN5+CNY+KRW+JPY (checked cointegration relationship by Johansen methodology)
 - * No cointegrated relationship in CNY+KRW+JPY



Summary of Figure 2s

- Temporal deviations calculated from Transitory components show that
 - Indonesian rupiah (IDR) tends to deviate temporally, not more than 20%,
 - Philippines peso (PHP) tends to deviate temporally, not more than 10%, and
 - * Chinese yuan and Malaysian ringgit were very stable .
 - For IDR and PHP, temporal deviations continued for 4-6 years so often.
- * The AMRO should focus on the issues why the correction of the deviation is slow.

		-						-			•		
	Table 3	a: Averag	e of TDI and d	ifferences fro	m DI (Case foi	r the currencies	of ASEAN5+K	lorea a	re coin	tegrate	ed)		
				Av	erage of the T	DI †		Differences from DI					
Currencies			I	II		IV	20001	I	П	111	IV		
		2000:1-2011.2					2000:1-	2000:1-	2003:1-	2006.1-	2009.1-		
			:	2000:1-2002:12	2003:1-2005:12	2006.1-2008.12	2009.1-20011.2	2011.2	2002:12	2005:12	2008.12	20011.2	
	Indonacia	(חסו)	6.712%	0.902%	6.601%	6.960%	14.558%	22 47 49	2 2849		50.304%		
	indonesia	(IDK)	(0.067173939)	(0.056400112)	(0.031053286)	(0.05546981)	(0.048337864)	32.1/4/	2.204/0	19.//9/		04.005%	
	Korea	(KBW)	2.741%	-0.239%	1.999%	1.647%	9.355%	3 020%	1 201%	-0 703%	-5 320%	21 701%	
	Rorea	((((()))))	(0.038880867)	(0.011451723)	(0.012804867)	(0.026245898)	(0.021922084)	5.020%	1.591%	0.705%	5.529%	21.701/0	
	Malaysia	(MYR)	0.884%	-0.773%	-0.154%	-0.839%	6.921%	2,105%	0.340%	3,730%	3.712%	0 107%	
Dominate	Malaysia	(1111)	(0.037661104)	(0.029493466)	(0.014308761)	(0.025883278)	(0.015685951)	2.10 %	0.740%	J.7 J.070	،/2/v	0.197/0	
	Philippine	oine (PHP)	3.360%	-0.062%	2.716%	2.474%	10.166%	18 2159	רא <u>ג</u>	23.187%	22.383%	27.839%	
	S		(0.041570255)	(0.01383795)	(0.014460298)	(0.029162197)	(0.025460383)	10.545%	2.070%				
	Cinconoro ((SGD)	2.549%	-0.295%	1.776%	1.389%	9.103%	0.065%	-0.540%	г гг 8 %	17 70 19	10 022%	
	Singapore		(0.038252125)	(0.011982288)	(0.012485686)	(0.025580314)	(0.02091628)	-9.005%		-2.220%	-13./04/2	-19.033%	
	Thailand	(тив)	2.133%	-0.414%	1.293%	0.832%	8.558%	-2 686%	-0.001%	-0.793%	-1 2719	-11.634%	
	mananu		(0.037270244)	(0.014871212)	(0.012172271)	(0.024606952)	(0.018952329)	-3.000%	-0.091%	-0./95%	-4.2/4/0	-11.054%	
	Brunei (BND)	(BND)	-7.954%	-1.050%	-4.566%	-15.408%	-11.623%						
			(0.079302957)	(0.079302957)	(0.079302957)	(0.079302957)	(0.079302957)						
C Dominated	Cambodia	(KHR)	25.997%	0.436%	12.402%	36.220%	65.010%						
	cambould		(0.25243637)	(0.027607046)	(0.058905215)	(0.154725984)	(0.046815569)						
	China	(CNY)	2.689%	-1.012%	4.241%	2.412%	6.165%						
		()	(0.03742698)	(0.02979928)	(0.031622723)	(0.013737478)	(0.030735281)						
Dominated	Hong	(нкр)	-0.873%	-2.113%	-7.031%	-2.311%	10.891%						
	Kong	((0.073136668)	(0.045856789)	(0.012967949)	(0.05142352)	(0.03300943)	-2 628%	0 521%	-1 828%	-1 026%	-7 540%	
Dominated	lanan	(IPV)	-8.578%	1.884%	-6.804%	-6.077%	-28.849%	2.020/8	0.521/8	1.030%	1.920%	7.743%	
	Japan	(11)	(0.122999024)	(0.057546731)	(0.051564683)	(0.065187323)	(0.073190476)						
	Lao	(LAK)	51.270%	9.664%	60.722%	68.071%	73.258%						
	240		(0.279387038)	(0.181225487)	(0.083318113)	(0.021702617)	(0.029411295)						
	Myanmm	(ммк)	92.384%	16.151%	79.754%	127.127%	166.350%						
	ar		(0.583949211)	(0.27865515)	(0.053166128)	(0.223225915)	(0.057244364)						
	Viotnam		40.091%	2.178%	22.542%	51.760%	99.376%						
	vietilaili		(0.364207978)	(0.036777194)	(0.073511543)	(0.162705705)	(0.12238191)						
t: A value in	the parent	thesis ind	icates the stan	idard value									

	Table 3b:Av	verage o	f TDI and diffe	erences from I	DI (Case for th	e currencies	of ASEAN5+Ja	pan are	cointeg	grated)		
				Ave	erage of the TD	1+			Differe	nces fr	om DI	
Currencies				I	- 11	111	IV	200011	I	П	111	IV
Currencies		2000:1-2011.2					2000.1-	2000:1-	2003 : 1-	2006.1-	2009.1-	
			1	2000:1-2002:12	2003:1-2005:12	2006.1-2008.12	2009.1-20011.2	2011.2	2002:12	2005:!2	2008.12	20011.2
	Indonesia	(IDR)	-0.529%	0.101%	0.173%	0.767%	-4.116%	39.415%	3.085%	26.207%	56.497%	83.339%
		()	(0.023664477)	(0.006895175)	(0.00726503)	(0.024774925)	(0.015333331)		2 2	•		
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Currencies & Currencies$	Japan	(JPY)	-1.10/%	-0.0/3%	-0.5/6%	-0.092%	-4.639%	-10.099%	1.436%	-8.066%	-7.911%	-31.759%
	-4 482%	-1 088%	(0.000040020)	-5 111%	-7 700%							
	(0.011815340)	(0.021113204)	7.472%	0.655%	8.529%	7 . 984%	14.818%					
Dominate		()	1.808%	0.804%	3,204%	4.243%	IV 2000:1- 2011.2 II III 2006.1- 2 2008.12 2 2008.12 2 2008.12 2 2 2008.12 2 2 2008.12 2 2 2008.12 2 2 2008.12 2 2 2008.12 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 3					
Dominated	Philippines	(PHP)	(0.03852677)	(0.0341718)	(0.011808539)	(0.038434562)	(0.033498208)	19.897%	2.013%	22.698%	⁵ 20 . 614%	40.001%
	Singapore		-2.902%	-0.613%	-2.903%	-2.760%	-6.266%	7 6149	0 222%	0 9 70%	0.555%	76649
		(200)	(0.025722768)	(0.027859528)	(0.00823795)	(0.013436961)	(0.01017193)	-3.014%	-0.222%	-0.0/9%	-9.555%	-3.004%
	Thailand	(THB)	-1.320%	-0.137%	-0.852%	-0.409%	-4.832%	-0.234%	-0.368%	1.352%	-3.034%	1,755%
			(0.021852511)	(0.009035319)	(0.006802775)	(0.020489166)	(0.010257517)	0.254/0	0.900%	1.202/0	%+ر•،ر	ייע איי
	Brunei	(BND)	-10.949%	-0.652%	-6.289%	-16.153%	-24.094%					
		()	(0.0947746)	(0.018025732)	(0.018402588)	(0.051978647)	(0.047870902)					
	Cambodia	(KHR)	23.002%	0.834%	10.678%	35.475%	52.539%					
		~ /	(0.2106/0366)	(0.0202986)	(0.065011131)	(0.120595056)	(0.03859221)					
	China	ina (CNY)	-0.300%	-0.014%	(0.025852664)	%/00.1 (0 041122218)	-0.305%					
			-3 867%	-1 715%	-8 755%	-3 056%	-1 570%					
	Hong Kong	(HKD)	(0.038136539)	(0.034976521)	(0.011953414)	(0.021800050)	(0.022214663)					
Dominated			5,394%	2.071%	1.412%	-2,501%	26.135%	0.367%	-0.919%	-0.115%	-1.181%	4.921%
	Korea	(KRW)	(0.139282498)	(0.081144366)	(0.054221008)	(0.137306266)	(0.068555057)					
		(1 4 17)	48.276%	10.062%	58.999%	67.326%	60.787%					
	Lao	(LAK)	(0.26025015)	(0.186413841)	(0.086159837)	(0.034273124)	(0.018868954)					
	Muanmar		89.390%	16.550%	78.030%	126.382%	153.880%					
	Myaliiliilai	ninar (MMK)	(0.550092597)	(0.285132147)	(0.063488239)	(0.194926959)	(0.046307416)					
	Vietnam	(VND)	37.096%	2.576%	20.819%	51.015%	86.905%					
	victiani		(0.32047917)	(0.039128805)	(0.076638916)	(0.126943703)	(0.11036543)					
A value in the	e parenthesis	indicates	s the standard	value								

Average of the TDI † Differences	rom DI
	III IV
2000:1-2011.2 2000:1-2002:12 2003:1-2005:12 2006.1-2008.12 2009.1-20011.2 2002:12 2005:12 2005:12 2005.1-2008.12 2009.1-20011.2 2002:12 2005:1	2006.1-2009.1-2008.1220011.2
China CNY (0.03594266) (0.034373053) (0.018508455) (0.019272226) (0.020410738) -0.993% -0.594% 1.787%	0.810% -7.677%
Indonesia (IDR) $\frac{8.483\%}{(0.079232593)} (0.09009536) (0.040564665) (0.045483206) (0.037770856)}{(0.037770856)} 30.402\% 1.602\% 17.668\%$	48.495% 61.881%
Korea (KRW) (0.04929299) (0.04009999) (0.040904999200) (0.04165002) (0.04165002) $(0.054\% 3.803\% 3.256\% 10.644\% 1.782\% 1.098\% -2.507\%$	-6.938% 20.412%
Dominate Malaysia (MYR) $(0.041033002)^{(0.010032971)}(0.013402093)^{(0.023121000)}(0.022102301)^{(0.022102301)}$	3.198% 0.825%
$\begin{array}{c} (0.03394200) & (0.034373033) & (0.0103004353) & (0.019272220) & (0.020410730) \\ \hline \\ Philippines (PHP) & 4.086\% & 0.091\% & 3.920\% & 3.387\% & 10.803\% \\ \hline \\ (0.043304535) & (0.020130034) & (0.015826578) & (0.035508400) & (0.033343007) & 17.619\% & 2.726\% & 21.982\% \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$	21.470% 27.201%
$\begin{array}{c} (0.04229455) & (0.020130924) & (0.013020576) & (0.025508499) & (0.022342097) \\ \hline \\ Singapore & (SGD) & 2.802\% & -0.346\% & 2.520\% & 1.815\% & 8.893\% \\ \hline \\ (0.036322802) & (0.011252962) & (0.013580291) & (0.021512207) & (0.02015858) & -9.318\% & -0.489\% & -6.302\% \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$	-14.130% -18.823%
Thailand (THB) $\begin{array}{c} (0.035304206) \\ (0.035304206) \\ (0.015340751) \\ (0.014040989) \\ (0.014040989) \\ (0.020546706) \\ (0.020546706) \\ (0.019912116) \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (0.020546706) \\ (0.019912116) \\ \end{array} \end{array}$	-4.723% -11.320%
Brunei (BND) $\frac{-7.784\%}{(0.064625424)} (0.022821519) (0.013779408) (0.03962477) (0.031283576)$	
Cambodia (KHR) 26.166% 0.270% 13.171% 36.735% 64.382% (0.250003422) (0.029538023) (0.062402349) (0.148622442) (0.052158293)	
Hong Kong (HKD) $\frac{-0.703\%}{(0.069870989)} \frac{-2.279\%}{(0.050918574)} \frac{-6.262\%}{(0.016747555)} \frac{-1.797\%}{(0.045485109)} \frac{10.263\%}{(0.038016952)}$	
Dominated Japan (JPY) -8.409% 1.718% -6.034% -5.562% -29.477% -2.798% -0.355% -2.608%	-2.441% -6.921%
Lao (LAK) $\frac{51.440\%}{(0.280340249)}$ $\frac{9.498\%}{(0.177026192)}$ $\frac{61.492\%}{(0.087573193)}$ $\frac{68.585\%}{(0.019117667)}$ $\frac{72.630\%}{(0.034960542)}$	
Myanmmar (MMK) 92.554% 15.985% 80.523% 127.641% 165.722% (0.582598596) (0.275049212) (0.053947408) (0.218560365) (0.062905033)	
Vietnam(VND)40.260%2.012%23.312%52.274%98.748%(0.362044133)(0.036098666)(0.078403403)(0.156327428)(0.128091701)	

A value in the parenthesis indicates the standard value

Tal	ble 3d: Avera	ige of TI	DI and differer	nces from DI (Case for the c	urrencies of A	SEAN5+China-	Japan :	are coi	ntegrat	ted)	
				Ave	erage of the T	DI †			Differe	ences fi	rom DI	
Currencies			I		III	IV	200011	I	П	111	IV	
	litericies		2000:1-2011.2	2000:1-2002:12	2003:1-2005:12	2006.1-2008.12	2009.1-20011.2	2000:1-2011.2	2000:1- 2002:12	2003:1- 2005:!2	ated) from DI III 2 2 2006.1- 2 2 2008.12 2 3 2.651% 47.237% 47.237% 4.850% 4.850% 3 -12.739% 3 -6.267% 3 -1.589%	2009 . 1- 20011 . 2
	China	CNY	-2.981% (0.034381426)	-1.029% (0.039481889)	-2.419% (0.011360788)	-2.166% (0.024859432)	-7 . 547% (0.010999199)	3.042%	-0.504%	4.821%	2.651%	6.163%
	Indonesia	(IDR)	7.899% (0.0849551)	3.734% (0.1412935)	10.797% (0.042598463)	10.027% (0.037528499)	6.929% (0.033349594)	30.987%	-0.548%	15.582%	47.237%	72.295%
Cu Dominate Dominated	Japan	(JPY)	-1.192% (0.024334202)	-0.246% (0.01073794)	-0.246% (0.007308733)	-0.161% (0.022947825)	-5.166% (0.009335778)	-10.015%	1.609%	-8.397%	-7.842%	-31.232%
	Malaysia	(MYR)	-2.812% (0.033166013)	-0.955% (0.036713661)	-2.214% (0.010771425)	-1.977% (0.024610407)	-7.323% (0.010700204)	5.801%	0.522%	5.791%	4.850%	14.441%
	Philippines	(PHP)	2.857% (0.039453062)	1.527% (0.057828687)	4.672% (0.019872451)	4.376% (0.025327033)	0.220% (0.017222295)	18.848%	1.290%	21.230%	20.481%	37.785%
	Singapore	(SGD)	-0.670% (0.023182485)	-0.017% (0.005070475)	0.388% (0.007583413)	0.424% (0.022723646)	-4.472% (0.009585456)	-5.846%	-0.817%	-4.170%	-12.739%	-5•457%
	Thailand	(THB)	1.472% (0.02945995)	0.920% (0.035017411)	2.990% (0.014075234)	2.824% (0.023494285)	-1.622% (0.013429203)	-3.026%	-1.426%	-2.490%	-6.267%	-1.455%
	Brunei	(BND)	-10.936% (0.096316782)	-0.920% (0.019447506)	-5.758% (0.012082112)	-15.745% (0.05592103)	-24.917% (0.041933515)					
	Cambodia	(KHR)	23.014% (0.208807197)	0.566% (0.021349188)	11.209% (0.068560044)	35.883% (0.115541846)	51.716% (0.043566896)					
Dominated	Hong Kong	(HKD)	-3.855% (0.038214115)	-1.983% (0.0421943)	-8.224% (0.012542358)	-2.649% (0.022428176)	-2.402% (0.026800805)					
Dominated	Korea	(KRW)	5.407% (0.132248832)	1.803% (0.074316785)	1.942% (0.050156324)	-2.093% (0.130096099)	25.312% (0.06395662)	0.354%	-0.651%	-0.646%	-1.589%	5.744%
	Lao	(LAK)	48.288% (0.261599258)	9.794% (0.180545938)	59.530% (0.090631263)	67.733% (0.040939742)	59.964% (0.02414432)					
	Myanmmar	(ММК)	89.402% (0.54863504)	16.282% (0.279760305)	78.561% (0.064125695)	126.789% (0.190876628)	153.057% (0.051597367)					
	Vietnam	(VND)	37.108% (0.318578086)	2.308% (0.035948037)	21.350% (0.081786006)	51.422% (0.121236013)	86.082% (0.116169698)					
t: A value in tl	he parenthes	sis indica	ites the standa	ard value								

	Та	ble 3e: A	verage of TDI	and difference	es from DI(C	Case for the c	urrencies of A	SEAN5+	Korea+.	Japan ai	e coint	egrated			
			Average of the TDI †						Differences from DI						
C	urroncios			I		III	IV		I	П	III	IV			
C	unencies		2000:1-2011.2	2000:1-2002:12	2003:1-2005:12	2006.1-2008.12	2009.1-20011.2	2000 : 1- 2011 . 2	2000:1- 2002 : 12	2003:1- 2005:!2	2006.1- 2008.12	2009 . 1- 20011 . 2			
	Indonesia	(IDR)	3.878% (0.051107171)	1.323% (0.069363268)	4.741% (0.031287922)	5.098% (0.047679173)	4.597% (0.034158621)	35.008%	1.863%	21.639%	52.166%	74.626%			
	Japan	(JPY)	-1.737% (0.016266279)	-0.209% (0.015065411)	-2.226% (0.012509737)	-2.575% (0.012998941)	-2.054% (0.012048804)	-9.469%	1.572%	-6.416%	-5.429%	-34.344%			
Dominate	Korea	(KRW)	0.518% (0.012969349)	0.407% (0.019084643)	0.573% (0.008021757)	0.507% (0.012303463)	0.618% (0.008448073)	5.243%	0.745%	0.724%	-4.189%	30.438%			
	Malaysia	(MYR)	-1.238% (0.011087017)	-0.072% (0.007749994)	-1.606% (0.009336511)	-1.892% (0.008073151)	-1.462% (0.008530703)	4.226%	-0.360%	5.183%	4.765%	8.581%			
	Philippines	(PHP)	1.103% (0.019257455)	0.566% (0.027803347)	1.298% (0.01153306)	1.306% (0.01832473)	1.310% (0.012581348)	20.602%	2.251%	24.605%	23.551%	36.695%			
	Singapore	(SGD)	0.336% (0.011144965)	0.357% (0.01637835)	0.347% (0.007123042)	0.259% (0.010479046)	0.402% (0.007294278)	-6.853%	-1.192%	-4.129%	-12.574%	-10.332%			
	Thailand	(THB)	-1.612% (0.014921995)	-0.175% (0.013213796)	-2.071% (0.011683758)	-2.404% (0.01173146)	-1.906% (0.011137244)	-12.569%	-1.161%	-5.987%	-16.184%	-31.970%			
	Brunei	(BND)	-10.075% (0.086227874)	-0.349% (0.020181492)	-6.018% (0.023834691)	-16.461% (0.04396901)	-20.007% (0.054260957)								
	Cambodia	(KHR)	23.875% (0.222734363)	1.137% (0.02175688)	10.950% (0.057472805)	35.167% (0.138403712)	56.626% (0.032070823)								
	China	CNY	0.567% (0.024372929)	-0.311% (0.010428317)	2.789% (0.019515655)	1.359% (0.021024667)	-2.218% (0.012630985)								
Dominated	Hong Kong	(HKD)	-2.994% (0.045074081)	-1.413% (0.025532333)	-8.483% (0.012113215)	-3.364% (0.033930778)	2.508% (0.017368375)	-0.507%	-1.222%	-0.386%	-0.874%	0.834%			
	Lao	(LAK)	49.149% (0.263586354)	10.365% (0.194033405)	59.270% (0.079232747)	67.018% (0.021878043)	64.874% (0.012714321)								
	Myanmmar	(ММК)	90.263% (0.560002144)	16.852% (0.291662083)	78.302% (0.057253475)	126.074% (0.209116633)	157.967% (0.041849219)								
	Vietnam	(VND)	37.969% (0.33310441)	2.878% (0.045092286)	21.090% (0.068189615)	50.707% (0.145560851)	90.992% (0.104679608)								

†: A value in the parenthesis indicates the standard value

	Table 3f:	Average	e of TDI and d	ifferences fro	om DI (Case fo	or the currencie	es of ASEAN5	+China+	Korea+.	Japan ar	e cointe	grated			
Average of the TDI †										Differences from DI					
C	urroncios			I		III	IV	200014	I	II	111	IV			
currencies		2000:1-2011.2					2000:1-	2000:1-	2003:1-	2006.1-	2009.1-				
			2000:1-2002:12	2003:1-2005:12	2006.1-2008.12	2009.1-20011.2	2011.2	2002:12	2005:!2	2008.12	20011.2				
	China	CNY	-1.948%	-0.611%	-1.808%	-2.613%	-3.061%	2.009%	-0.922%	4.211%	3.099%	1.677%			
	Crinic	CITI	(0.017970091)	(0.026971149)	(0.010486653)	(0.004920417)	(0.006472813)	,		1.2110	J.C 9 9/0				
Ch Indo Jap Ko Mala Philip Singa Thai Camb	Indonesia	(IDR)	7.821%	3.166%	9.085%	10.276%	9.214%	31.065%	0.019%	17.295%	46.988%	70.009%			
		()	(0.083224834)	(0.137890744)	(0.050183708)	(0.02698218)	(0.030411251)	2 2	-			. ,			
	Japan	(JPY)	-1.204%	-0.323%	-0.979%	-1.632%	-2.127%	-10.002%	1.686%	-7.663%	-6.371%	-34.271%			
	•	. ,	(0.010001/50)	(0.014422000)	(0.00500/949)	(0.003000823)	(0.003040309)		0.437%	-0.718%	-5.592%	29.809%			
	Korea	(KRW)	(0.018221180)	(0.030803257)	(0.010841715)	(0.006060663)	(0.006703700)	4.280%							
Dominate	Malaysia (MYR	<i>.</i>	-1.204%	-0.323%	-0.979%	-1.632%	-2.127%					9.245%			
		(MYR)	(0.010681758)	(0.014422688)	(0.005887949)	(0.003000823)	(0.003840309)	4.193%	-0.110%	4.555%	4.505%				
	Philippines	(PHP)	2.971%	1.291%	3.676%	3.876%	3.119%	•	1.526%	22.226%	0.00	00.0			
			(0.033445897)	(0.056037048)	(0.020080982)	(0.011579735)	(0.012289815)	18.734%			20.981%	34.885%			
	Singapore	(SGD)	0.601%	0.375%	1.034%	0.749%	0.141%	7 447%	4.200%	-4.816%	-13.064%	5 -10 . 071%			
			(0.009741128)	(0.016042103)	(0.005400299)	(0.004386663)	(0.003677894)	-/.11//	-1.209%						
	Thailand	(THB)	1.804%	0.840%	2.375%	2.337%	1.654%	-2 258%	-1.345%	-1 875%	-5 780%	-1 730%			
			(0.021584153)	(0.036349674)	(0.012845507)	(0.007947963)	(0.007975745)	%0رر،ر		1.075%	5.700%	4.730%			
	Brunei (BND	(BND)	-10.042%	-0.600%	-5.391%	-16.201%	-20.671%								
	Diditei	(5115)	(0.086464393)	(0.017941924)	(0.016942509)	(0.045852135)	(0.049095409)								
	Cambodia	(KHR)	23.908%	0.886%	11.577%	35.427%	55.962%								
			(0.220206303)	(0.018402375)	(0.060526681)	(0.13242118)	(0.035597066)								
	Hong Kong	(HKD)	-2.961%	-1.003%	-/.850%	-3.104%	1.844%								
Dominated			(0.041529201)	(0.032451222)	(0.008400182) 50.807%	(0.02059012)	(0.02045/103) 64 210 ⁹	-0.540%	-0.971%	-1.013%	-1.134%	1.499%			
	Lao	(LAK)	(0.264178707)	(0.187606027)	(0.083632805)	(0.024384066)	(0.016346050)								
		<i>,</i> ,	90.296%	16.601%	78.929%	(0.024)04000) 126.334%	157.303%								
	Myanmmar	(MMK)	(0.558339726)	(0.286172684)	(0.05711748)	(0.204949867)	(0.046694131)	, , ,							
	. <i></i>		38.002%	2.627%	21.717%	50.967%	90.328%								
	vietnam	(VND)	(0.330944962)	(0.040507854)	(0.073332682)	(0.139423074)	(0.109914062)								
†: A value in t	he parenthes	sis indica	tes the standa	ard value											

Summary of Table 3s

- Deviations of the Indonesia rupiah from the benchmark of the RMU DI tends to be over-estimated at more than 30% in the full sample period.
- * Deviations of the Philippines peso from the benchmark of the RMU DI tends to be over-estimated at more than 17%,
- Deviations of the Singapore dollar and the Thai baht tend to be under-estimated about 3-11%,
- Deviations of the Japanese yen are also under-estimated if the Japanese yen is included as a dominate currency, and
- Deviations of dominated currencies are not suffered much from the temporal deviations of dominate currencies.

Conclusions

- For an empirical analysis, accumulation of historical data is required, and hence, it might be impossible to forecast the beginning of an economic crisis with timeliness and accuracy.
- * However, the sequential unit root test for the RMU DI employed here can detect the beginning of overvaluation, which usually happens several years before the sudden rapid depreciation of the currency in a crisis. By applying econometric methodologies to the RMU DI, we can detect changes in the determinant of exchange rates, e.g., innovative changes in real economies or unexpected booms in the market as the beginning of a bubble.
- Monitoring of the RMU DI, as well as the nominal exchange rates against the USD, should be helpful for raising an alert for a possible large correction in the future, and hence, the RMU DI can be a useful surveillance tool.

Conclusions (cont.)

- * The RMU DI such as the AMU DI is a better indicator for exploiting the information for deviation and mean reversion.
- Employing the RMU DI the surveillance unit such as the AMRO can detect an early-warning signal of deviation from the mean and the possibility of large corrections ahead.
- However, it is important to monitor and update the time-varying coefficients of exchange rate movement using higher frequency data of exchange rates and the policy/market variables,
- By employing the efficient tools for the economic surveillance to strengthen the soundness of economic structures, the governments of East Asian countries will be able to take further steps for regional financial cooperation from now onward.